



CITY OF SANTA BARBARA

COUNCIL AGENDA REPORT

AGENDA DATE: April 21, 2009

TO: Mayor and Councilmembers

FROM: City Administrator's Office

SUBJECT: City Of Santa Barbara 2009 Legislative Platform

RECOMMENDATION: That Council:

- A. Adopt the proposed City of Santa Barbara 2009 Legislative Platform; and
- B. Authorize the Mayor, her designee in her absence, and staff, acting on behalf of the City of Santa Barbara, to contact state and federal representatives and send letters to advocate positions consistent with the goals of the City's Legislative Platform.

DISCUSSION:

The City's Legislative Platform outlines the City's position on legislative matters. It serves as the foundation for the City to state support, remain neutral or oppose positions on State and Federal legislative actions.

This year the Committee on Legislation dedicated two meetings to review and discuss proposed updates to the Legislative Platform. On March 17, 2009, at the committee's second meeting, the Committee on Legislation reviewed and approved an updated Legislative Platform for 2009 (Attachment A). The Committee recommends that the Council adopt the proposed City of Santa Barbara 2009 Legislative Platform. Changes to the platform fell under three principal categories:

- Added statements to cover new Council initiatives or increased emphasis on certain topics;
- Deleted obsolete sections; and
- Made general clarification and corrections

Attachment B summarizes the changes incorporated in the proposed City of Santa Barbara 2009 Legislative Platform. Minor or grammatical changes have been excluded. Final format changes will be made and a table of contents will be added after Council adopts the Legislative Platform. The Legislative Platform will also be added to our website for the public to access.

Legislative Platform

The platform has been updated to incorporate legislative policy positions adopted by Council over time. The proposed Legislative Platform is structured under the following issue areas:

- Revenue and Taxation
- Public Safety
- Transportation, Communications and Public Works
- Environmental Quality
- Housing, Community and Economic Development
- Airport
- Waterfront
- Community Services
- Employee Relations
- City Administration

The platform outlines legislative issues of interest to the City. In cases where a legislative issue is not addressed in the platform, staff will return to the Committee on Legislation and/or the City Council for direction as necessary.

Guidelines for Advocacy

We will continue to use previously established guidelines for legislative advocacy. The guidelines are designed to produce timely and effective communication with legislators. Advocacy efforts will generally occur in one or more of the following ways:

1. Advocacy Letters

- The platform is organized by topic with a lead department assigned to each topic. With coordination from the City Administrator's Office, designated departments are responsible for handling the process for taking positions on legislation as assigned.
- Upon referral from the Mayor and Council, City Administrator's Office, League of California Cities or other sources, designated department staff will compare legislative proposals against the City's Legislative Platform. If the proposed bill raises legal issues, department staff will consult with the City Attorney's Office.
- If a legislative matter is covered in our legislative platform and correspondence is determined to be appropriate, a letter will be drafted and forwarded electronically to the City Administrator's Office and the Mayor's Office for review and action. In cases where proposed legislation is not addressed in the platform, staff will return to the City Council for final direction as necessary.
- As needed, the Mayor and/or staff will contact legislators, or their staff, via telephone, e-mail or in person to reinforce the City's position or to provide additional information.

2. Committee on Legislation

- The Committee on Legislation has regularly scheduled meetings on the fourth Monday of every month but will meet only as necessary. The Director of Administrative Services and the City Attorney provide staff support to the Committee on Legislation..
- During the legislative session, Staff may consult with and enlist the Council's Committee on Legislation and/or the Mayor if efforts beyond the standard position letter are required. The Mayor and Committee on Legislation may undertake direct contact with legislators or recommend to the City Council that more extensive actions be taken. The Mayor and Committee members may also communicate with other parties who may have an interest in proposed bills.

3. Meetings with State and Federal Representatives, legislative advocates and coordination with others

- We will continue to work closely with advocacy partners. As an example, Dave Mullinax, Regional Public Affairs Manager with the League of California Cities Channel Counties Division has appeared before the Committee on Legislation to update the City on State legislation and priority issues. We will continue to work closely with the League.
- Staff and elected officials from the cities of Goleta and Carpinteria have attended the meetings of the Committee on Legislation when there are issues that interest or impact their cities. We're pleased to have the south coast cities at our meetings and to share our Legislative Platform with them.
- As part of the legislative action process, the Committee on Legislation may invite members of our State Assembly, State Senate and Congress, or legislative advocates, to committee meetings to discuss legislative positions and interests. The Mayor and Councilmembers will be invited when such meetings are scheduled; City Staff will participate as appropriate.

NOTE: The City of Santa Barbara 2009 Legislative Platform is available for public review online at http://www.santabarbaraca.gov/Government/Council/Legislation_Program/2009.htm and in the Office of the City Clerk

ATTACHMENTS: A. City of Santa Barbara 2009 Legislative Platform
B. Summary of changes in the Legislative Platform

SUBMITTED BY: Marcelo A. López, Administrative Services Director

APPROVED BY: City Administrator's Office



Legislative Platform 2009

City of Santa Barbara

III. CITY OF SANTA BARBARA 2009 LEGISLATIVE PLATFORM

Proposed: April 21, 2009

REVENUE AND TAXATION		
SCOPE		Issues and recommendations related to finance administration, taxation reform, and revenue needs, and revenue sources at the federal, state and local levels.
EFFECTIVE FINANCING	Finance	Support meaningful fiscal reform that allows each level of government to adequately finance its service responsibilities.
BEST USE OF FUNDS	Finance	Support the emphasis on efficiency and effectiveness, encouraging governments to achieve the best possible use of public resources.
ALLIANCES	Finance	Support alliances with counties, schools, other cities, employee organizations, other local agencies, and business and professional organizations to support cooperation, sound financial policies and joint action.
ECONOMIC STIMULUS	Finance	Support an economic stimulus package that creates a balance between investments and tax incentives.
LOCAL CONTROL <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sales Tax • Rights-of-Way • Local Revenues • Preservation • Property Tax • Fees • VLF • Simple Majority 	Finance	Support state and local authority to collect legally due sales tax on remote transactions; and Support continued local control over public rights-of-way. Support local, political authority and accountability for revenues raised and services provided. Support the preservation of local authority and accountability for cities, and state policies that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure the protection of existing city revenue sources for all cities, including the local share of property tax, sales tax, vehicle license fees, and Redevelopment Agency revenues, among others. • Allow every level of government to enjoy budgetary independence from programs and costs imposed by other levels of government. • Authorize a simple majority of the voters in a city or county to establish local priorities, including the right to increase taxes or issue general obligation bonds.
STATE MANDATES REIMBURSEMENT	Finance	Support full and prompt reimbursement to all local agencies for all state-mandated programs and/or infractions and losses associated with local revenue shifts and reforms to accomplish such objectives.

ADDITIONAL REVENUE FOR LOCAL NEEDS	Finance	Support efforts to bring additional revenue to the state/local revenue structure to meet the needs of a growing population and deteriorating services and facilities.
SITUS-BASED SALES TAX – BRADLEY BURNS	Finance	Support efforts to preserve and protect the existing situs-based sales tax under the Bradley Burns 1% baseline.
REGIONAL REVENUES	Finance	Support the identification and implementation of multi-jurisdictional revenues in cases where regional issues, programs, and services are identified.

<u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>		
SCOPE		Federal and state legislation and issues related to law enforcement, fire and life safety policies including emergency communications and emergency services including ambulance and disaster preparedness.
FIRE AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mission • Local Control • Transport • Staffing 	Fire	<p>Support the fire service mission of saving lives and protecting property through fire prevention, disaster preparedness, hazardous-materials mitigation, specialized rescue, etc., as well as cities authority and discretion to provide all emergency services in their communities.</p> <p>Support local control of emergency medical services and authorize cities and fire districts to prescribe and monitor the manner and scope of pre-hospital emergency medical services, including ambulance transport services, provided within local boundaries to improve pre-hospital emergency medical service.</p> <p>Oppose legislation, regulations and standards that impose minimum staffing and response time standards for city fire and emergency medical services since such determinations should reflect the conditions and priorities of individual cities.</p>
DISASTER RESPONSE		Support funding for improved public notification mechanisms including continuous radio reporting during natural disasters and other emergencies.
FEDERAL FUNDING	Police	Support federal funding for the Local Law Enforcement Block Grant, Homeland Security Block Grant, the COPS program and other law enforcement programs.
FEDERAL HOMELAND PROTECTION <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Matching Funds • Communication • Standards • Local Control 	Police	<p>Support direct federal funding to cities, without any match requirements to support local protection efforts with incentives for regional collaboration without any match requirements.</p> <p>Support direct communication between federal agencies and local authorities on critical homeland issues.</p> <p>Support federal standards, guidelines, and protocols to ensure reliable, consistent, timely, and redundant preparedness on the front lines.</p> <p>Support local control over front line responses.</p>

<p>LAW ENFORCEMENT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Penalties • Violent Offenders • Cost Reimbursement • Booking Fees • Parking Citations • Data Collection • Predators • Racial Profiling • Deportable Criminals • Smoking 	<p>Police</p>	<p>Support the promotion of public safety through:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stiffer penalties for violent offenders; and • Additional funding for local agencies to recoup the costs of crime and increase community safety. <p>Oppose booking fees and seek their repeal, while encouraging localities to pursue resolution of the issues with their respective counties.</p> <p>Support legislation that allows mailing parking citations to violators.</p> <p>Support data collection on hate crimes based on race, national origin, religion, gender, or sexual orientation and improved federal-local communication and coordination on hate crimes.</p> <p>Support federal action to identify predatory lending practices and increase federal enforcement action against lenders who target the elderly, low-income families, and racial minorities.</p> <p>Support federal anti-racial profiling legislation that provides financial support to state and local law enforcement agencies for training, equipment, and data collection.</p> <p>Support reimbursement by the federal government to local agencies, specifically cities, for the costs associated with incarcerating deportable criminals.</p> <p>Support legislation that reduces the impacts of environmental tobacco smoke on residents in outdoor settings and in multiple family housing.</p>
<p>NUISANCE CONTROL</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adult Entertainment • Alcohol • Drugs 	<p>Police</p>	<p>Support enhanced local control over public nuisances including, but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adult entertainment facilities; • Problem alcohol establishments; and • Properties where illegal drugs are sold.
<p>VIOLENT CRIMES</p>	<p>Police</p>	<p>Support the reduction of violence through strategies that address domestic violence, youth access to tools of violence, including but not limited to firearms, knives, etc., and those outlined in the California Police Chiefs Policy Paper endorsed by the League of California Cities' Board of Directors.</p>
<p>GRAFFITI</p>	<p>Police</p>	<p>Support the "Tag You Lose" anti-graffiti campaign.</p> <p>Support increased authority and resources devoted to cities for abatement of graffiti and other acts of public vandalism.</p>
<p>CHILDREN/YOUTH</p>	<p>Police Parks and Recreation, Community Development, and Library</p>	<p>See page 25 for the following issues in this category:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Job Training • Prenatal Care • Youth Program • Federal Grants • Natural Policy • After School • Equal Access • Educational Programs • Parks and Recreation Facilities • Library funding • Universal Health Care

TRANSPORTATION, PUBLIC WORKS and COMMUNICATIONS		
SCOPE		Review both state and federal legislation as it relates to issues of transportation funding, construction, public works, telecommunications, and other related areas.
TRANSPORTATION <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funding • TEA 21 • Alternative Transportation • Fund on Merit • Directly Appropriate • Unmet Infrastructure • Housing • Gas tax • Local Autonomy • Bicycle/ Pedestrians • Commuter Rail • Measure D • On TRAC 	Public Works/ Community Development	<p>Support continued funding for surface transportation programs authorized in the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA 21).</p> <p>Support additional funding for local public transportation, other transportation alternatives to single occupancy vehicles, and other critical unmet infrastructure needs.</p> <p>Support funding projects on their merit in accordance with identified criteria.</p> <p>Support the adoption and implementation of a long-term capital investment plan and budget to upgrade and repair vital infrastructure.</p> <p>Support a continuous appropriation of new funds directly to cities and counties for the preservation, maintenance and rehabilitation of the local street and road system.</p> <p>Support maximizing investments in infrastructure and reinforce local land use practices to accomplish strategic local growth objectives such as compact land development patterns, revitalizing urban cores, transit-oriented development and preservation of open space.</p> <p>Support leveraging state investments to fund affordable housing and critical local and regional infrastructure projects to accomplish regional priorities.</p> <p>Support a permanent shift of the sales tax on gasoline for transportation purposes and an allocation formula equivalent to a 40/40/20 split with 40% to cities and counties, 40% to STIP and 20% to public transit and other alternative transportation modes.</p> <p>Support enhanced autonomy for local transportation decision-making and pursue transportation policy changes that move more funding and decision-making to local policy leaders or fund urban infrastructure needs.</p> <p>Support bicycle and pedestrian access with maximum local flexibility to prioritize this transportation need, as long as funding is available directly for it and other transportation priorities are not affected.</p> <p>Support legislation that would provide funding to explore the feasibility of establishing commuter-rail service within the region.</p> <p>Support legislation and policies that promote the goals, objectives, and continuation of Measure D programs.</p> <p>Support legislation and policies that promote the goals and objectives of the On-TRAC program.</p>

PUBLIC WORKS PROJECTS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Flexibility • Partnerships • Innovations • Lower Mission Creek 	Public Works	<p>Support retaining maximum flexibility for timely and cost-effective completion of public works projects.</p> <p>Support innovative strategies including public-private partnerships at the state and local levels to enhance public works funding.</p> <p>Support changes to law that allow cities options to use design-build contracting and other innovations designed to enhance efficiency with public contracting.</p> <p>Support continued funding for the Lower Mission Creek project in annual federal appropriations and authorized by the Water Resource Development Act.</p>
VEHICLES <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Road Damage • Local Control • Safety 	Public Works/ Community Development	<p>Oppose all efforts that allow vehicles that will jeopardize the integrity of the public infrastructure or the health and safety of the motoring public, cyclists or pedestrians on the road.</p> <p>Support retention of maximum City control of the local street and road system.</p> <p>Support traffic safety enhancements such as motorcycle helmets, child restraints, seat belt and speed limit laws. Allow for greater local discretion for setting lower speed limits.</p>
CABLE TELEVISION – ACCESS AND REVENUES	Finance	<p>Support the ability of cities to retain public, educational and government access channels, institutional networks and franchise revenues from cable television and other video providers.</p>
TELECOMMUNICATIONS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Control/ Taxes • Infrastructure • Right-of-Way 	Public Works	<p>Oppose any state or federal efforts to erode the ability of local governments to maintain existing taxes on telecommunication services.</p> <p>Support the authority of cities to zone and plan for the deployment of telecommunications infrastructure.</p> <p>Support the ability of cities to maintain and manage the public right-of-way and receive compensation for its use.</p>

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY		
SCOPE		Issues related to air and water quality, CEQA, integrated waste management, hazardous materials, coastal issues, energy, water conservation, and utilities.
Air Quality <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improvements • Regulations • Land Use • Local Involvement • Fund Diversion • Programs/Standards • Health/Safety Code 	Public Works/Community Development	<p>Support regulatory changes and infrastructure improvements that will reduce air pollution.</p> <p>Oppose legislation that will reduce air quality standards or restrict a city’s permitting authority for land uses that may negatively affect air quality.</p> <p>Support inclusion of city officials on the governing boards of air districts.</p> <p>Support cities having the authority to establish local air quality standards and programs that are stricter than state and federal standards and oppose efforts to restrict such authority, while reserving the right to question or oppose stronger standards on the merits.</p> <p>Oppose legislation redirecting the funds authorized by Health and Safety Code Section 44223, which currently are used by local governments for locally based air quality programs.</p>
WATER QUALITY <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Infrastructure investment • Standards • Liability • Federal Safe/Clean Water • “Bounty Hunters” • Water Softeners • Watershed Management 	Public Works/Community Development	<p>Support a renewed federal financial commitment to water infrastructure investment.</p> <p>Support a federal financial commitment to surface water quality improvement and urban creek restoration.</p> <p>Support the ability of cities to enact discharge and water quality requirements or standards that are stricter than state or federal standards, while reserving the right to question or oppose stronger standards on the merits.</p> <p>Support legislation to protect public agencies that provide wastewater treatment services, from liability for pollution or contamination to groundwater from chemicals unlawfully discharged into the collection system.</p> <p>Support efforts to improve the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act and the Federal Clean Water Act and their implementation procedures to protect public health and the environment in an efficient and effective manner.</p> <p>Oppose legislation to enact “bounty-hunter” rewards for individuals who identify water quality or water pollution violators.</p> <p>Support the rights of cities to enact ordinances that restrict the use of water softeners.</p> <p>Support regulations and legislation that promote watershed management as a water quality tool that appropriately spreads the responsibility for clean water beyond the requirements that apply to point source dischargers and publicly owned treatment works.</p>

<p>WATER QUALITY, CONT'D</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Treatment • Reclaimed Water • Reuse • Graywater • Numeric Limits • Diversion • Financial Commitment • Frivolous Lawsuits • Fees/Penalty Revenues • NPDES • State/Regional Discretion 	<p>Public Works/Community Development</p>	<p>Support legislation that encourages the treatment of municipal wastewater for non-potable reuse and maximizes the responsible use of reclaimed water as an alternative to California’s fresh water supply.</p> <p>Support legislation that removes barriers to gray water systems in public, commercial, industrial, multi-family, and single family projects.</p> <p>Support legislation that updates gray water standards, and encourages simple and cost effective permitting process of gray water systems.</p> <p>Oppose legislation that requires the use of unreasonable numeric limits in waste discharge permits and storm water permits.</p> <p>Support efforts to set clear water quality goals as occurred with solid waste diversion legislation.</p> <p>Support federal financial commitment to cities that have a history of effective management of water or wastewater infrastructure requirements/investments.</p> <p>Support, as appropriate, state and federal legislative and administrative remedies that would:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limit frivolous citizen lawsuits for personal financial gain but continue to allow injunctive relief for documented violations of the Clean Water Act if the violations are not the subject of enforcement proceedings by state agencies or by local water quality control boards; • Ensure that the majority of funds collected from a city as fees, penalties, or judgments are directed toward correcting the cause of past, current and projected violations of a city’s National Pollution Discharge Elimination System permit; • Return limited discretion to state and regional water quality control boards in setting penalties to allow fairness based on severity and circumstances of the violation; and • Encourage the state and regional water quality control boards to use their existing discretionary authority, in keeping with the requirements of the Clean Water Act, to issue permits that are reasonably achievable.
--	--	---

<p>HAZARDOUS MATERIALS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Control/Standards • Streamlining • Administrating Agencies • Building Permits • Land Use • Biodiesel 	<p>Public Works/ Community Development and Fire</p>	<p>Support the ability of local governments to enact local standards or regulations that are stronger than those enacted by the state and federal governments.</p> <p>Support efforts to streamline and coordinate hazardous materials regulation among various levels of government, including city fire departments and county environmental health departments.</p> <p>Support the ability of city fire departments to be administrating agencies for any of the major hazardous materials laws or to be the lead agency (the Certified Unified Program Agency) under the SB 1082 program.</p> <p>Oppose legislation or regulations to restrict such authority.</p> <p>Oppose efforts to restrict the ability of cities to issue building or other permits it is now authorized to issue, relative to hazardous materials laws, as long as such facilities comply with established health and safety standards.</p> <p>Oppose any proposals that would preempt the ability of a city to deny a land use permit or restrict its ability to issue a conditional use permit for the siting of a hazardous waste facility.</p> <p>Support regulation that allows the storage of biodiesel (up to blends of B20) in existing Underwriters Laboratory (UL) rated in ground tanks that have secondary containment and working leak detection systems, at the discretion of the local jurisdiction.</p>
<p>REVITALIZATION OF BROWNFIELDS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Control • Additional Funding • Mitigation • Remediation • Restrictions • State Involvement • Owner Responsibility 	<p>Community Development</p>	<p>Support protecting cities' ultimate say on whether a proposed brownfield remediation project is consistent with local land use policy.</p> <p>Support additional fiscal resources and options to restore and develop urban and industrial brownfields contaminated by hazardous materials.</p> <p>Support the clean up level of a project being based on its proposed use (i.e., parking garage, as opposed to residential development).</p> <p>Support placing and keeping mechanisms, such as restrictive covenants or deed restrictions, in place to ensure that a future use for the property is appropriate given the level of remediation.</p> <p>Support state agencies having the responsibility to do the technical evaluation for site assessment and remediation plans.</p> <p>Support a property owner being required to do the necessary site assessment and clean up if the owner plans to develop the site.</p>

<p>INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Integrated Waste Management Act • Local Control • AB 939 • CIWMB • Market development • E-waste • Curbside recycling • AB 2020 • Multi-Unit Housing Recycling • Local LEA • Land Use • Landfill Certification 	<p>Finance / Community Development</p>	<p>Support retention of local authority for decisions on how to achieve the recycling and diversion requirements of the Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 939).</p> <p>Support continued efforts to streamline provisions of AB 939 and to assist in its compliance.</p> <p>Oppose efforts to dismantle the California Integrated Waste Management Board (CIWMB) and transfer its functions to another department. Support inclusion of a designated local government representative on the CIWMB.</p> <p>Support legislation and other efforts to increase the markets for recycled materials, including advance disposal fees, minimum content laws, and recycling market development zones.</p> <p>Support legislation implementing the concept of manufacturer responsibility for electronic waste.</p> <p>Support efforts to strengthen curbside recycling programs.</p> <p>Support legislation to expand the container types included in the AB 2020-bottle bill program.</p> <p>Support legislation that promotes reduced packaging and Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR).</p> <p>Support legislation that requires owners of multi-unit housing to provide recycling to the tenants.</p> <p>Support legislation to develop a permit process for solid waste anaerobic digestion and/or conversion technologies (including gasification, pyrolysis, plasma arc and refuse derived fuel) that are capable of minimizing the amount of solid waste landfill and do not impede or impair existing and planned recycling and waste reduction programs.</p> <p>Support the ability of jurisdictions to impose a fee or tax on single-use bags.</p> <p>Support the right of cities under existing law to be designated as Local Enforcement Agencies for solid waste facility permitting, inspection, and enforcement.</p> <p>Oppose legislation that would preempt local land use authority over solid waste facilities, restrict the ability of a city to issue a land use permit for a solid waste facility, or restrict the ability of a city to condition such facilities through the conditional use permit process.</p> <p>Oppose legislation that would authorize the Waste Board to consider landfill capacity as a reason for denying concurrence of a solid waste facility permit.</p>
--	---	---

<p>UTILITIES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Control • PUC • Rate Setting 	<p>Public Works</p>	<p>Support the constitutional right of municipal utilities to operate outside the jurisdiction of the California Public Utilities Commission (PUC) and oppose any legislation that would erode the ability of municipal utilities to operate, or place them under PUC control.</p> <p>Oppose any legislation that interferes with local utility rate setting authority and oppose any legislation that restricts the ability of a city to transfer revenue from a utility (or other enterprise activity) to a city's general fund.</p>
<p>CLIMATE PROTECTION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incentives • Fuel Efficient • Renewable Energy 	<p>Public Works/ Community Development</p>	<p>Support grants, loans, tax credits, and other incentives to assist local governments, businesses, and the public invest in energy efficient equipment and renewable energy technology.</p> <p>Support incentives for local governments to complete an inventory of local government facility greenhouse gas emissions, and to conduct an inventory of their whole jurisdiction.</p> <p>Support legislation that streamlines permitting requirements and costs for energy producing facilities on federal land or which exempts small energy plants (less than 5 mW) from FERC licensing requirement, and require only state and local regulations as applicable.</p> <p>Support grants, loans, tax credits, and other incentives to assist the public and local governments in using alternative fuels and purchasing fuel efficient vehicles.</p> <p>Support legislation that increases energy efficiency requirements as part of the building codes.</p> <p>Support legislation to permit assignment of the Public Goods Charge to local governments for implementation of energy conservation programs and projects.</p> <p>Support legislation and measures that encourage renewable energy generation, remove roadblocks to renewable resource development, and provide incentives for small renewable generation projects.</p> <p>Support legislations or regulations allowing wheeling of power and or appropriate remuneration for energy provided to the grid by municipalities.</p>

<p>CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fair Argument Test • Master EIR Funding • Notification • Duty to Respond • Timelines for CEQA Contract • Arbitration • Bounty Hunter • Re-Circulation • Notices • Effect on Environment • Significant Thresholds • Indirect and Cumulative Effects 	<p>Community Development</p>	<p><u>Procedures and Notices</u></p> <p>Oppose the elimination of the fair argument test as the threshold for determining whether to prepare an Environmental Impact Report (EIR).</p> <p>Support the development of a funding source for Master EIRs as proposed in the Little Hoover Commission report.</p> <p>Oppose shifting the responsibility to notify responsible agencies from the Lead Agency to the State Clearing House.</p> <p>Oppose shielding Lead Agencies from responding to comments received more than 30 days after a Notice of Preparation (NOP) or received verbally.</p> <p>Support eliminating subdivision (b) of Public Resources Code Section 21151.5, which mandates the timeline for entering into CEQA contracts.</p> <p>Support adding a “CEQA arbitrator” option to the requirement that each county over 200,000 designate a “CEQA judge.”</p> <p>Support voluntary efforts and encouragement of arbitration/mediation in CEQA disputes but not mandatory arbitration.</p> <p>Support limitations on lawsuits that have little merit by eliminating the availability of provisions for fee recovery by petitioners or by authorizing cities to collect their fees and costs in cases where they are the prevailing party.</p> <p>Support raising the threshold for re-circulation of EIRs so that only new “significant unavoidable impacts” would necessitate re-circulation.</p> <p>Support requiring that all projects proposed by any state or local public agencies comply with the identical local public notice requirements that would be applicable to projects sponsored by private developers in the jurisdiction where the project is located.</p> <p><u>Definition of a Project</u></p> <p>Support narrowing the definition of “project” to discourage CEQA lawsuits on non-environmental matters.</p> <p><u>Significant Environmental Effects</u></p> <p>Oppose the creation of a new mandate requiring each city to develop boilerplate significance thresholds.</p> <p>Oppose a single statewide set of standards for determining significance at the local level.</p> <p>Support focusing CEQA review by limiting analysis to physical environmental effects.</p> <p>Oppose amending the definition of effects to eliminate the analysis of indirect and cumulative environmental effects.</p>
---	-------------------------------------	---

<p>CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) (CONTINUED)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alternative Site Requirement • No Project Alternative • Coastal Commission Authority • Frivolous Appeals • Offshore Development • Authority • Lead Agency • Restrictions/ Mandates • Local Standards • Habitat Plans • Environmental Review 	<p>Community Development</p>	<p>Oppose exempting projects that are subject to their own subsequent environmental review from consideration as a reasonably foreseeable future project when analyzing cumulative impacts.</p> <p><u>Alternatives</u></p> <p>Support eliminating the alternative site requirement for all private projects.</p> <p>Oppose the elimination of the “no project alternative.”</p> <p><u>Coastal Issues</u></p> <p>Oppose legislation that would permit the state to impose unreasonable conditions on Local Coastal Plans developed by cities and counties.</p> <p>Support efforts to curb frivolous appeals to local coastal decisions.</p> <p>Support extension of the Federal Coastal Protection Act prohibition of additional offshore development based, in part, on concern about the impacts to on-shore support facilities and services by offshore development activities.</p> <p>Oppose legislation that grants authority to the Coastal Commission that is inconsistent, duplicative and overlapping with the authority of other regulatory agencies, such as regional water quality control boards or other agencies or that grants the Coastal Commission authority outside the coastal zone.</p> <p><u>Miscellaneous</u></p> <p>Support the right of cities to serve as Lead Agencies for the purposes of the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act (SMARA).</p> <p>Oppose any federal or state regulation, statute or constitutional amendment which would place restrictions on federal, state, and local government actions regulating private property or requiring additional compensation beyond the continually evolving judicial interpretation of the Fifth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.</p> <p>Support flexibility for state and local governments to enact environmental and other standards or mandates that are stronger than the federal standards, reserving the right to question or oppose stronger standards on the merits.</p> <p>Oppose legislation that prohibits state and local governments from enacting stricter standards.</p> <p>Support the ability of local governments to voluntarily develop and approve species habitat plans for their communities, in conjunction with willing property owners.</p> <p>Support legislation that would consolidate environmental review studies and processes, and encourage other forms of inter-agency cooperation, for proposed development projects that require permits from multiple jurisdictions (local, state, and federal). Oppose legislation, proposed administrative procedures or other initiatives that would add redundant environmental review processes.</p>
---	-------------------------------------	---

HOUSING, COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT		
SCOPE		Policies that foster local control of community planning decisions as they relate to land use, affordable housing, cultural arts, human and neighborhood services, redevelopment and the community's economic health.
AIR QUALITY	Community Development /Public Works	See page 7 for the following issues under this category: • Improvements • Regulations • Standards • Land Use • Local Control • Fund Diversion • Governance • Health/Safety Code.
WATER QUALITY	Community Development/ Public Works	See page 7 for the following issues under this category: • Infrastructure investment • Local Control • Standards • Liability • Streamlining reform • "Bounty Hunters" • Water Softeners • Watershed Management • Treatment • Reuse • Reclaimed Water • Numeric Limits • Diversion • Frivolous lawsuits • Remedies • Fees/Penalty revenues • NPDES • State/regional discretion.
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	Community Development /Public Works	See page 9 for the following issues under this category: • Local control/standards • Streamlining • Administating agencies • Lead agency • Building permits • Land use.
INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT	Community Development /Public Works	See page 10 for the following issues under this category: • Local control • AB 939 • Streamline • Green Waste • CIWWB • Diversion • Measurement • Requirements • Non-burn transformation • Market development • Staffing • Variable can rates • E-waste • Curbside recycling • AB 2020 • Packaging • Local LEA • Authority • Landfills • Land use • Landfill certification.
TOURISM • Identity/Funds	Community Development	Support federal and state efforts to foster tourism policy development and coordination, and raise awareness of the economic impact of travel and tourism. Support funding to create a national identity for the U.S. as a premier travel destination with funds to be allocated directly to local governments. Support federal and state assistance to the travel and tourism industry.
ARTS • National Support • Funding • Museums, Humanities, Education	Community Development	Support the National Endowment for the Arts, National Endowment for the Humanities, and the Office of Museum Services within the Institute of Museum and Library Services. Support funding for these agencies at levels to sustain the nation's cultural infrastructure. Support funding for Arts in Education in the U.S. Department of Education's Fund for Improvement of Education to encourage high quality arts instruction in schools.

<p>PLANNING AND ZONING</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General Plans • Water Supply/Land Use • Zoning 	<p>Community Development</p>	<p>Support the use of the general plan as a guide to meeting community planning needs. A city's general plan should not be subject to mandatory review by regional or state agencies.</p> <p>Support having the best information available on the reliability of water supplies when land use decisions are made by local agencies, while protecting and retaining local land use decision-making authority.</p> <p>Support protection of local zoning as a primary function of cities and an essential component of home rule. State agency siting of facilities, including campuses and office buildings, should be subject to local notice and hearing requirements, and local land use policy and zoning requirements in order to meet concerns of the local community.</p>
<p>AFFORDABLE HOUSING</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financing • CDBG/Home • Federal Funding • Legal Protections • HOPE VI • Section 202 • Elderly Housing • Non-Profits • Section 8 • Section 811 Funding • McKinney Act • Fair Market Rents 	<p>Community Development</p>	<p>Support legislation and state and federal programs that assist in providing financing for affordable housing, including the development of fiscal tools and incentives to assist local governments in their efforts to encourage housing and finance the infrastructure to support housing.</p> <p>Support federal funding for the Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG) and the HOME Investment Partnerships Program.</p> <p>Support federal funding of: 1) HOPE VI for an additional ten years; 2) Section 202 for new construction and rental assistance, modernization of units of elderly housing; 3) efforts to preserve elderly housing, permitting nonprofit organizations to purchase elderly housing projects with expiring Section 8 contracts; 4) Section 811 funding for the disabled; and 5) McKinney Act homeless assistance grants.</p> <p>Support and encourage legislation that establishes additional legal protections to local agencies that approve affordable housing and that establish local pro-active affordable housing policies.</p> <p>Support the preservation of Section 8 Program Funding.</p> <p>Support at the federal level, the calculation of Section 8 “fair market rents” and “area median income” on a sub-regional basis, rather than on a countywide basis.</p>

<p>HOUSING</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General Plan • Housing Element • Growth • Allocation of • Accountability • Performance Standard • Incentives • Streamlining • Reforms • Growth • RHNA Units • Disputes • Consistency 	<p>Community Development</p>	<p>Support the following housing principles:</p> <p>Housing issues should be addressed in the general plan as other planning issues are. The housing element should be prepared for the benefit of local governments and should have equal status with the other elements of the general plan.</p> <p>The projections of regional and local growth and the allocation of housing units should account for state and local planning factors and should be subject to a formal hearing and appeal process to ensure that they are realistic and fair. Cities or cities and counties should be allowed to work together to allocate housing units among themselves within a sub-region. Politically accountable officials at the state and regional levels should hear appeals to ensure that all public entities in the South Coast region receive an equitable allocation of housing units.</p> <p>Local government efforts should be subject to realistic performance standards not to arbitrary state agency review of the housing element. Local government housing efforts should be rewarded by incentives. These incentives should include streamlining by not being subject to HCD review, priority ranking for discretionary funds, and new discretionary funds available for general fund purposes.</p> <p>Support and encourage legislation that implements comprehensive reforms to the housing element process to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Address conflicts between local growth projections and state regional housing need numbers; • Resolve the problems associated with the distribution of RHNA units within a council of governments; • Achieve improvements to the housing element review process; and • Develop a neutral dispute resolution process and fair enforcement alternatives to deal with disputes over questions of compliance. <p>Support and encourage legislation that requires state laws and policies, which affect housing and land use, to be internally consistent and consistent with each other.</p>
<p>REDEVELOPMENT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Flexibility • Liability • Project Area • Redevelopment Plan 	<p>Community Development</p>	<p>Support legislation that increases the flexibility in the use of redevelopment authority.</p> <p>Oppose limiting authority or increasing the liability of redevelopment agencies.</p> <p>Support legislation that provides for the appropriate extension of existing redevelopment project areas that face statutory expiration.</p> <p>Support legislation that allows redevelopment agencies to continue to collect tax increment and extend project area activities in pursuit of Redevelopment Plan goals and to provide affordable housing beyond existing statutory deadlines.</p>

REDEVELOPMENT (CONTINUED) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ERAF • RDA Sunset 	Community Development	<p>Oppose legislation such as Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF) that diverts locally-generated tax increment funds from redevelopment agencies.</p> <p>Support legislation to retain redevelopment agency authority to collect and use the increment for affordable housing beyond the current RDA sunset.</p>
RENT CONTROL <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ordinances • Mobile Homes 	Community Development	<p>Oppose any legislation that restricts the ability of cities to enact rent control ordinances for mobile homes and other forms of housing that are tailored to meet local conditions and circumstances.</p> <p>Oppose any legislation that would require a city to adopt a mobile home rent control ordinance.</p>
SUBDIVISION MAP ACT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Control • Antiquated subdivisions 	Community Development	<p>Support maximizing local control over subdivisions and public improvement financing. Discretion over the conditions and length of subdivision and parcel maps should be retained by cities.</p> <p>Support reversal of legislation recognizing antiquated subdivisions as legal lots.</p>
RESIDENTIAL CARE FACILITIES <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Permitting • Regulating • Licensing • Restrictions 	Community Development	<p>Support permitting cities to exercise review and land use regulation of group home facilities and residential care facilities in residential neighborhoods including the application of zoning, building, and safety standards. State and county licensing agencies should be required to confer with a city's planning agency in determining whether to grant a license to a community care facility. Better review and regulation of residential care facilities will protect both the community surrounding a facility and the residents within a facility from a poorly managed facility or the absence of state oversight.</p>
DEVELOPMENT FEES <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local authority • Infrastructure • Mitigation • Condition and Deny Authority 	Community Development	<p>Support providing local discretion in the assessment, collection, and usage of development fees. Support the state provision of infrastructure funding to help local communities meet California's growth demands and to increase housing affordability.</p> <p>Oppose limiting the ability of cities to levy fees to provide for infrastructure or services.</p> <p>Support maintaining city discretion over the extent to which legislative authority should be exercised to fully mitigate impacts from development to the adequacy of school facilities.</p> <p>Support maintaining the cities' ability to condition and deny projects that determine to inadequately mitigate impacts to community schools.</p>

ANNEXATION AND INCORPORATION	Community Development	Support strengthening city control over urban boundaries. Sphere of Influence law should be modified to limit urban development in unincorporated areas of a county and to facilitate the annexation of urban areas to cities. The Revenue and Taxation Code should not allow counties to block annexations in exchange for unreasonable property tax sharing agreements. In addition, cities should have expanded authority over adjacent lands outside their sphere of influence regardless of jurisdictional lines so long as the land is not within another city's sphere.
DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENTS	Community Development	Support voluntary development agreements as one tool for providing flexibility in development approvals.
BUILDING STANDARDS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Health and Safety • Occupancy • Licensing 	Community Development	Support flexibility in the adoption and implementation of health and safety standards contained in the building codes. Support authorizing cities to adopt independent occupancy standards to prevent overcrowding and associated health and safety hazards, including fire-related fatalities. Oppose legislation that would remove licensing requirements for professionals such as architects, landscape architects, contractors, and others related to building and development.
MILITARY BASE CLOSURE AND REUSE <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Involvement • Reuse 	Community Development	Support local decision-making over closed military base reuse. Support incentives for the reuse of closed military facilities to meet local community needs as determined by local governments.
MOBILE HOME REGULATION AUTHORITY	Community Development	Support initiatives that maintain cities as the enforcement authority for mobile home park regulation.
SIGN REGULATION	Community Development	Support the authority of cities to regulate billboards and other signage.
ARTS, CULTURAL RESOURCES, HISTORIC PRESERVATION AND ACTIVITIES	Community Development, Parks and Recreation	Support the continued state funding for local arts activities and historic preservation.
CHILDREN/YOUTH	Community Development, Parks and Recreation, Police, and Library	See page 25 for the following issues in this category: • Job Training • Prenatal Care • Youth Program • Foster Care • Federal Grants • Natural Policy • After School • Equal Access • Educational Programs • Parks and Recreation Facilities • Library funding • Universal Health Care.

AIRPORT		
SCOPE	Issues related to federal and state legislation affecting airport operations, funding regulation, and implementation of the Aviation Facilities Plan.	
FUNDING	Airport	<p>Support legislation reauthorizing FAA and the Airport Improvement Program (AIP), including support of the following provisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Increase AIP funding and maintain or reduce the 5% AIP match requirement for small airports; ○ Strengthen or maintain budget protections for AIP funding. ○ Eliminate the competition plan requirement for AIP. ○ Eliminate unnecessary AIP grant assurances; and ○ Maintain or expand revenue sources that contribute to AIP. <p>Support federal legislative efforts to maintain or increase appropriations to the AIP for infrastructure projects relating to safety, security, aviation operations and capacity, and noise abatement.</p> <p>Support dedicated aviation security operations and infrastructure funding sources separate from the AIP.</p> <p>Support efforts to reimburse airports for operational and infrastructure costs associated with implementation of federal security and other mandates.</p> <p>Support bankruptcy reform legislation that helps protect airports from the impact of airline bankruptcies.</p> <p>Support reclassification of all airport bonds as ‘governmental’ instead of ‘private activity.’</p> <p>Support continuation of the elimination of the Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT) penalty on Airport Private Activity Bonds.</p> <p>Support efforts to provide airports with greater flexibility in the use of AIP and Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) funds, including elimination of the mandated loss of entitlement funds from imposing a higher PFC.</p> <p>Support an increase or elimination of the cap on PFC charges.</p> <p>Support efforts to streamline the airport PFC application and reporting process.</p> <p>Support equitable distribution of jet fuel tax revenues.</p> <p>Support efforts to restructure the Airport Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) program.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AIP • Funding • Security • Bankruptcy • Bonds • Alternative Minimum Tax • Passenger Facility Charge • Cap on PFC Charges • PFC Application • Jet fuel tax • DBE Program 		

SAFETY AND SECURITY <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Streamlining • Cargo Screening • Staffing • TSA 	Airport	<p>Support streamlining the airport security screening process to reduce passenger inconvenience and improve customer service, while maintaining security and safety.</p> <p>Support a threat based (risk-based) system of air cargo inspection and screening.</p> <p>Support local primacy in granting access to airport restricted areas.</p> <p>Support efforts to preserve current staffing levels for Aircraft Rescue and Fire Fighting (ARFF) crews.</p> <p>Support an adequately funded Transportation Security Administration (TSA).</p>
ENVIRONMENT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noise standards • Greenhouse Gases • Airport Noise • Environmental Review 	Airport	<p>Support measures to alleviate noise impacts on communities surrounding airports that benefit both the community and the airport such as the implementation of a phased Stage 3 requirement for lighter jet aircraft and the phase-out of noisy Stage 1 and Stage 2 jet aircraft weighing less than 75,000 lbs.</p> <p>Support efforts to reduce potential greenhouse gas emissions resulting from aircraft operations and airport ground service equipment.</p> <p>Oppose efforts to expand state airport noise standard variance requirements.</p> <p>Support additional steps to expedite the airport environmental review and approval process while preserving environmental protections.</p>
AIR SERVICE	Airport	<p>Support legislation that stimulates the airline industry's ability to provide air service to Santa Barbara.</p> <p>Support legislation that recognizes or encourages aviation's contributions to the economy that result from air travel, commerce, freight transport, and manufacturing.</p>
TRANSPORTATION/ INFRASTRUCTURE	Airport	<p>Support legislation funding connectivity between air and ground transportation through improved infrastructure and enhancement of alternative transportation options.</p>

PLANNING <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Land Use • Planning CEQA • Coordination 	Airport	<p>Support efforts to ensure that development around airports is consistent with land use planning guidelines and compatible with airport operations.</p> <p>Support development of a clear methodology for preparing CEQA analysis of potential global warming impacts of proposed projects.</p> <p>Support coordination between FAA and sponsor airports on NEPA compliance issues and preparation of joint NEPA/CEQA documents.</p>
RENTAL CAR CONCESSIONS	Airport	<p>Support airport interests in proposed legislation that may impact the terms under which rental car concessions operate at the airport.</p>
EDUCATION	Airport	<p>Support aviation education that informs students about career opportunities in aviation and encourages interest in a math and science curriculum.</p>

WATERFRONT		
SCOPE		Issues related to federal and state legislation affecting harbor operations, funding, and regulation.
PUBLIC ACCESS	Waterfront	Support continued public access to marinas, state tidelands, waterfront facilities, and marine resources.
ECONOMIC CONTRIBUTIONS	Waterfront	Support legislation that recognizes economic contributions of ports and harbors to state and federal economies, through maritime trade, maritime industries and commercial fishing.
CONGRESSIONAL OVERSIGHT	Waterfront	Support Congressional oversight of Executive Agencies to ensure timely permitting of statutorily mandated Civil Works projects, and contain regulatory demands that inflate project costs.
SEARCH AND RESCUE OPERATIONS	Waterfront	Support the U.S. Coast Guard's services mission to conduct search-and-rescue operations as an agency priority.

<p>ENVIRONMENTAL</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Authority • Disposition of Crafts • Non-Native Marine Species • Waste • Seabirds • Water Pollution • Fuel Tank • Oil Spills • Recycling • Boat Paint 	<p>Waterfront</p>	<p><u>General</u></p> <p>Support enhanced local authority over disposition of wrecked, derelict, abandoned, non-operable or non-seaworthy craft.</p> <p>Support legislation that encourages and/or funds eradication or control of non-native marine species in ports and harbors.</p> <p>Support physical alternatives or grant funds for disposal of marina-generated household wastes, dredge materials or treated building materials.</p> <p>Support efforts to ensure seabird protection while allowing ports and harbors to control or abate nuisance fowl.</p> <p><u>Water Pollution</u></p> <p>Support state or federal funding for retrofits or rehabilitation of underground marine-fuel tanks or systems.</p> <p>Support state or federal funding of mitigation or remediation of non-point source pollution that affects harbor water quality.</p> <p>Support and advance programs and state funding aimed at reducing or eliminating point-source pollution in California ports and harbors.</p> <p>Support efforts to fund oil-spill prevention and/or recovery in ports and harbors.</p> <p>Support efforts to fund recycling and/or disposal options for waste oil and other byproducts of maritime activities or vessel maintenance.</p> <p>Support efforts to research and test alternatives to copper-based anti-fouling paints for boat bottoms.</p>
<p>FISHERIES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fishing • Ecological Health • Planning 	<p>Waterfront</p>	<p>Support environmentally compliant commercial and recreational fishing, as essential to the fabric of working ports and harbors.</p> <p>Support legislative efforts to sustain the ecological health of aquatic biological systems, including fish and shellfish.</p> <p>Support a planning process for the Marine Life Protection Act in Southern California that includes input from the science community and all interested stakeholders to protect the marine heritage and ensure long term viability of coastal communities and fisheries.</p>

<p>LOCAL CONTROL</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revenues • Use • Preservation • Environment • Sanctuaries • Local Control • Cruise Ships • Air Quality 	<p>Waterfront</p>	<p>Support compliance with the California Constitution as it relates to preservation of tideland revenues, as well as the return of local user fees, locally collected property taxes and similar funds to the California ports and harbors of origin.</p> <p>Support legislation allowing ports and harbors to establish or designate local control over special-use areas for vessels or related recreational equipment of a specific type or use.</p> <p>Support the preservation of ocean resources through established marine sanctuaries, while reserving the ability to comment on potential sanctuary expansion by the Harbor District that could affect activities including but not limited to dredging, vessel traffic, wastewater disposal, general construction, and maintenance and repair.</p> <p>Support local jurisdictional control and environmental/regulatory oversight of cruise ship visits near ports and harbors.</p> <p>Support state and federal efforts to reduce air and water quality impacts of shipping in the Santa Barbara Channel.</p>
<p>DREDGING</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funding • Material • Corps of Engineers • Federal Initiatives • Obligation 	<p>Waterfront</p>	<p>Support policies and funding for dredging small-craft ports and harbors, including the use of dredged materials for beach nourishment.</p> <p>Support opportunities, when environmentally feasible, for the removal and disposition of dredged materials.</p> <p>Support full execution by the Corps of Engineers of its basic navigation, shore and flood protection mission, as well as environmental restoration and recreation authorized by Congress.</p> <p>Support federal initiatives that benefit Congressionally authorized marine infrastructure needs including: Maritime Infrastructure Banks; Marine Transportation System Vision 2020; expanded ocean dredge disposal sites; and development of new upland dredge disposal and reuse sites with provisions of federal ownership and cost sharing for such sites.</p> <p>Oppose any action that would prohibit U.S. Army Corps of Engineers from realizing its mission obligation to dredge Santa Barbara Harbor.</p>

<p>FACILITIES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funding • Abandoned Watercraft • Utilities • Boat Launching • Repair and Maintain Harbor Facilities 	<p>Waterfront</p>	<p>Support legislation granting federal funds (or low-interest, no-interest loans) for development of harbor infrastructure facilities.</p> <p>Oppose legislative or administrative efforts to eliminate the state Department of Boating and Waterways and/or reduce/reallocate its budget.</p> <p>Oppose legislative or administrative efforts to reallocate or divert funds from the intended purposes of the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, as described in Sections 85-88 of the California Harbors and Navigation Code.</p> <p>Support legislation expanding and/or increasing the budget of the state’s Abandoned Watercraft Abatement Fund.</p> <p>Oppose legislative or administrative efforts to decrease the budget of the state’s Abandoned Watercraft Abatement Fund.</p> <p>Support legislation providing harbor managers a financially feasible means of supplying utilities, such as electricity to berths and marinas.</p> <p>Support legislation that funds construction and/or maintenance of boat-launching facilities.</p> <p>Oppose legislation that seasonally restricts ability to repair and maintain harbor facilities, while considering impact to sensitive species.</p>
<p>BOATING SAFETY</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Education • Enforcement 	<p>Waterfront</p>	<p>Support boating safety, including education and enforcement or regulation of boating practices, vessel types and equipment.</p> <p>Support legislation implementing a boater-safety certification program.</p> <p>Support public safety and enforcement efforts through funding of equipment utilized by Harbor Patrol Officers.</p>
<p>ENFORCEMENT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public Safety • Homeland Security 	<p>Waterfront</p>	<p>Support legislation that promotes public safety and law-enforcement efforts in or near California ports and harbors through funding resources.</p> <p>Support Homeland Security legislation, programs and/or grants that benefit and protect small ports and harbors.</p>
<p>EDUCATION</p>	<p>Waterfront</p>	<p>Support programs that utilize the California Department of Boating and Waterways as an educational vehicle for non-regulatory boating and harbor-management programs.</p>

COMMUNITY SERVICES		
SCOPE		Issues related to childcare, parks and recreation, libraries, cultural arts, and community and human services programs.
PARKS FUNDING <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Programs • Community Park Land • Partnerships • Coastal Access 	Parks and Recreation	<p>Support full federal funding for the Forest Service's Urban and Community Forestry Program, the Land and Water Conservation Fund, and the Urban Parks and Recreation Recovery Program.</p> <p>Support legislation that promotes the acquisition, development or redevelopment of park land to meet community park and recreation needs.</p> <p>Support regional multi-agency open space acquisition initiatives.</p> <p>Support the continuation of funding for Coastal Access Projects.</p>
ARTS, CULTURAL RESOURCES, HISTORIC PRESERVATION AND ACTIVITIES	Parks and Recreation and Community Development	Support the continued state funding for local arts activities and historic preservation.
CHILD CARE <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Child Care 	Parks and Recreation	<p>Support the creation of more affordable, innovative, and quality local child care options for parents and concurrently encourage adherence to strict regulations and guidelines.</p> <p>Support legislation that encourages child care facilities throughout the community.</p> <p>Support state and federal efforts to provide high quality, safe, and affordable childcare for all who need it.</p>
CHILDREN/YOUTH <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Job Training • Prenatal Care • Youth Program • Foster Care • Federal Grants • National Policy • After School 	Parks and Recreation/ Police/ Community/ Development/ Library	<p>Support state and federal funding for school-based job training programs in order to produce more job placement opportunities and collaborations with municipal services.</p> <p>Support increased state and federal funding for prenatal care, early health care, preschool, and childcare programs to ensure healthy children and school readiness.</p> <p>Support increased funding for foster care.</p> <p>Support creation of permanent state and/or federal funding sources for children and youth programs.</p> <p>Support federal reauthorization and full funding of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), the Child Care and Development Block Grant, and the Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) block grant, including after-school recreation and tutoring programs.</p> <p>Support formation of a President's national youth cabinet to create a comprehensive national policy for children.</p> <p>Support increased state and federal funding for affordable after-school programs.</p>

<p>CHILDREN/YOUTH (CONTINUED)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Equal Access • Parks and Recreation Facilities • Educational Programs • Library funding • Universal Health Care • Health Care 	<p>Parks and Recreation/ Police/ Community Development /Library</p>	<p>Support legislation that would provide state and federal funding for increased access for children with disabilities to after-school and other recreation programs, including required staff support related to medications, mobility, and activity integration.</p> <p>Support legislation that would provide state and federal funding for the development and operation of park and recreation facilities that serve underprivileged children, families, and older adults.</p> <p>Support legislation providing state and federal funding for improving pre-school reading readiness, for supporting public library services assisting elementary and secondary school students with information, research, and curriculum materials, for adult literacy and computer literacy instruction, and for acquisition of learning materials.</p> <p>Oppose legislation or administrative efforts to decrease the state's budgets for First 5 and K through 12 programs that support children and families.</p> <p>Support the concept of universal health care for all Californians.</p> <p>Support incentives to improve coordination of public health considerations with community land use planning.</p>
<p>PARK BOND FUNDS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eligibility • Distribution • Release 	<p>Parks and Recreation</p>	<p>Oppose tying local eligibility for park bond grant funds to non-park related issues, such as rent control or housing element status. Statewide park bond measures should include a component that provides per capita grants to cities and counties.</p> <p>Monitor quarterly state actions regarding distribution or hold-up of allocated bond funds and other state funding sources related to Creeks Program and park capital projects.</p> <p>Work with League of California Cities to assure bond funds are not held for other state funding needs.</p>
<p>PUBLIC LIBRARIES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funding • Computers 	<p>Library</p>	<p>Support full funding of the State Public Library Foundation so that the state's full share of funding is provided to the program, understanding how libraries play an integral role in building and sustaining our communities.</p> <p>Support legislation providing federal funding for improving pre-school reading readiness, for supporting public library services assisting elementary and secondary school students with information, research, and curriculum materials, for adult literacy and computer literacy instruction, and for acquisition of learning materials.</p> <p>Support federal funding for telecommunications equipment and services in public libraries in order to provide equal access to information to all residents; oppose requirements on use of federal funds for Internet access services for adults that mandate installation of filtering software.</p>

<p>PUBLIC LIBRARIES (CONTINUED)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State Public Library • Foundation Privacy Rights 	<p>Library</p>	<p>Support efforts to maintain and restore the State Public Library Foundation.</p> <p>Oppose reductions of funding for library services.</p> <p>Oppose any further reduction of the State Public Library Foundation.</p> <p>Oppose legislation that requires public libraries to install and maintain computer-filtering software for use on computers in the library that, in an attempt to block obscene materials, also prevents access to material protected by the First Amendment.</p> <p>Oppose legislation, regulations, and guidelines that erode privacy, information access and Constitutional rights, and oppose the use of governmental authority to suppress the free and open exchange of information and ideas.</p>
<p>SENIORS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Programs • Care Facilities • Wellness 	<p>Parks and Recreation</p>	<p>Support efforts to develop and improve intergenerational recreation programs and activities that include seniors.</p> <p>Support legislation that would provide funding for side-by-side day care facilities for California's youth, adults, and seniors.</p> <p>Support legislation that facilitates development of senior residential and day care facilities integrated within the community-at-large.</p> <p>Support funding for wellness, physical activity, and recreational programs for seniors on low or fixed incomes.</p> <p>Support funding for senior daycare facilities and programs.</p>
<p>NATURAL RESOURCE PROTECTION</p>	<p>Parks and Recreation</p>	<p>Support legislation that fosters protection and restoration of natural resources, including streams, stream and riparian habitat, wetlands, estuaries, rural and urban open space, etc.</p> <p>Support legislation that provides local agencies with matching grants and/or technical support from California Resources Agency or other state agencies to revise and implement new resource protection policies and programs.</p>
<p>PARKS AND YOUTH EDUCATION</p>	<p>Parks and Recreation</p>	<p>Support legislation that establishes new grants or expands scope of existing grants (currently limited to urbanized areas with populations over 100,000) for which the City would be eligible for park development, park improvement, and youth recreation programs.</p>
<p>PROPOSITION 40/50</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Distribution 	<p>Parks and Recreation</p>	<p>Support legislation that distributes bond funds as competitive monies to a range of State agencies and does not limit funds based on geographic location or scope of need.</p> <p>Support legislation that allows grantee opportunity to receive grant funds for project design and permitting, in addition to construction, and also provides a mechanism to extend completion schedules.</p>

EMPLOYEE RELATIONS		
SCOPE		Issues related to the field of labor relations and human resource management.
LABOR RELATIONS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Authority • Improve Exemptions • Strikes • Arbitration • MOU • State Board • Mutual Agreement 	City Administrator	<p>Support efforts to promote, initiate, and improve both public and private sector labor-management relations.</p> <p>Oppose interference with management rights or the ability of cities and their employees to bargain on matters within the scope of representation.</p> <p>Support legislation that specifically exempts local public agencies from the requirement to negotiate with any labor or special interest group about matters submitted to the voters of that jurisdiction as initiatives or Charter amendments.</p> <p>Support the long-held position of California courts that public employees cannot engage in strikes.</p> <p>Oppose any system of compulsory and binding interest arbitration, including state-mandates and the imposition of binding arbitration through the initiative process.</p> <p>Oppose any legislative action that requires the continuation of the terms of any Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between a public agency and an employee organization until a successor MOU is agreed upon.</p> <p>Oppose any extension of the State Public Employment Relations Board jurisdiction over local public agency labor relation disputes and charges of unfair labor practices, and to any interference or intervention in local collective bargaining by any labor-management relations councils or boards.</p> <p>Oppose state-mandated compulsory mediation or fact-finding processes that are not mutually agreed upon by the local public agency and its employee organizations, except as provided by local law.</p>

<p>EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Civil Rights/EEO • Sexual Harassment • Standards • CFCL/FMLA • Sexual Orientation 	<p>City Administrator</p>	<p>Support legislation furthering the purpose and clarifying the application of state and federal civil rights and equal employment opportunity laws.</p> <p>Support a consistent standard for hostile sexual harassment cases by adopting the federal "reasonable victim" standards in matters before the FEHC. Support changing the standard from the "viewpoint of a reasonable victim" to a standard utilizing the "perception of reasonable persons of the same gender as the claimant," in order to shift from a gender-based sexual harassment standard to a plaintiff-based standard.</p> <p>Support efforts to conform the California Family Care Leave Laws to the federal Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA).</p> <p>Support the amendment of federal civil rights legislation to prohibit employment discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation.</p>
<p>PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coalition • Public Pension Systems • Exemptions • Limitations • Fraud • PERS Credit • Disability • Airport Patrol 	<p>Administrative Services</p>	<p>Support the PERS Coalition (PERS/PAC) and its efforts to maintain or further the interests of contracting agencies.</p> <p>Oppose preemption of charter city authority over their public pension systems.</p> <p>Support an exemption for retired PERS employees, allowing them to work for a PERS agency under contract or appointment by the local agency.</p> <p>Support certain limitations upon recoveries under judgments against public retirement systems.</p> <p>Support a requirement that the PERS Board adjust or cancel the retirement allowance of any person convicted of making fraudulent benefit claims.</p> <p>Support extending the period for which employees may purchase PERS service credit for periods of lay off to five years.</p> <p>Support: (a) reducing all disability retirement payments for employees hired after a certain date; (b) imposing an earnings test for persons receiving industrial disability retirement; (c) requiring state departments to identify annual unemployment and disability payments in separate budget items; (d) requiring persons receiving disability retirement payments to obtain an annual medical examination; (e) prescribing a 60% cap on payments for either job-related or non-job-related disabilities; (f) eliminating the tax-exempt status of disability retirement payments; (g) requiring mandatory reinstatement for employees certified able to work by medical exam; and (h) discontinuing disability retirement payments if the employee rejects reinstatement.</p> <p>Support legislation to allow a PERS contracting agency to voluntarily elect to amend its PERS contract to provide safety retirement benefits to California peace officers serving as armed Airport Patrol Officers.</p>

<p>PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM (PERS)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pension System Reform • Employer Rate • Part-Time Work • Mandates • Benefits • CalPERS Board 	<p>Administrative Services</p>	<p>Support pension system reform that will reduce long term costs while remaining effective in recruiting and retaining a skilled, quality work force.</p> <p>Oppose a requirement that all plan assets of an employer plan, including “excess assets” be used in the determination of the employer rate.</p> <p>Support allowing local agencies to make an exclusive determination of whether to utilize excess assets to offset costs of plan amendments.</p> <p>Oppose declaring eligible for PERS benefits those part-time employees who have satisfied a minimum requirement of service, thereby defeating the intent and value of part-time employment.</p> <p>Oppose legislation that mandates large increases in compensation during the final year of employment, which have the effect of increasing retirement benefits.</p> <p>Oppose requiring an employer to continue to pay the salary of a member while PERS makes its decision on the member’s application for involuntary disability retirement.</p> <p>Support expansion of the membership of the CalPERS Board of Administration to include one new member appointed by the League of California Cities.</p>
<p>WORKERS' COMPENSATION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Injuries • Workers' Compensation • Claim • Medical • Presumptive Injury • Legal process 	<p>Finance</p>	<p>Support the principles of narrow causation and definition of injury.</p> <p>Support requiring the employee to prove by clear and convincing evidence that sudden or extraordinary employment conditions were the predominant causes for the injury.</p> <p>Support existing workers' compensation laws to be liberally construed only after an injury is deemed "specific" and consists of serious physical or bodily harm.</p> <p>Support the cost containment of medical expenses for workers' compensation claims.</p> <p>Oppose regulations or legislation that would require increased employer medical costs for workers' compensation.</p> <p>Oppose regulations or legislation that would expand the definition of a “presumptive” injury, or create new “presumptive” injury categories that lack a basis in current proven medical science.</p> <p>Oppose legislation that would permit an employee to use more than one legal process in regard to disability claims (i.e., ADA, workers' compensation, DFEH), or any other erosion of the “exclusive remedy” principle as it relates to disability claims covered under workers' compensation.</p>

<p>EMPLOYMENT-RELATED ISSUES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Harassment • Mandates • OSHA • FEHC 	<p>Administrative Services</p>	<p>Support the special protection of elected officials, county public defenders, public figures and public employees acting in their official capacity against threats of death or serious bodily injury.</p> <p>Support federal and state legislation affirming the establishment of tax exempt employee savings accounts for retirement health expenses.</p> <p>Support state-funded recruitment and succession planning programs to address expected high levels of employee retirement turnover within state and local government service.</p> <p>Oppose a mandatory Social Security tax on any public sector employees or employers by the federal government.</p> <p>Oppose any state or federal mandate of benefits on local agency employers, including, but not limited to, benefits for temporary or part-time employees, domestic partner benefits and veterans' preferences.</p> <p>Oppose the mandated inclusion of governmental entities for Occupational Safety and Health Agency (OSHA) violations without appropriate compensation for the mandates.</p> <p>Oppose extending the filing dates for Fair Employment and Housing Commission (FEHC) charges from one to two years, and oppose permitting the FEHC to provide affirmative or prospective relief to prevent the recurrence of an unlawful practice.</p> <p>Oppose legislation limiting the ability of public agencies to monitor public employees' use of public assets and resources.</p>
<p>FEDERAL FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT (FLSA)</p>	<p>Administrative Services</p>	<p>Support federal legislation to modify inappropriate sections of the FLSA as it relates to local governments, including, but not limited to, the administrative and professional exemptions, salary tests, and the definition of hours worked.</p> <p>Support the position that the FLSA was inappropriately applied to state and local governments through court decisions and was never designed to regulate public sector employment.</p>
<p>DOMESTIC PARTNERSHIP</p>	<p>Administrative Services</p>	<p>Support legislation that would delete the current state criteria that persons in a Domestic Partnership must be members of the same sex or be over 62 years of age.</p>

CITY ADMINISTRATION		
SCOPE		Issues regarding election law and administration, insurance and tort reform, open meeting law, (the Brown Act), the Public Records Act, the Political Reform Act, and other conflict of interest laws, and regulation of smoking and tobacco products.
OPEN MEETING LAW (THE BROWN ACT)	Administrative Services	<p>Support legislation that recognizes the need to conduct the public's business in public. To this end, the City supports the regulation of the state and other public agencies to ensure conformance to the principles of the open meetings provision in the Ralph M. Brown Act.</p> <p>Support legislation that extends application of the Brown Act to the State.</p> <p>Oppose legislation claiming to enhance open and public meetings that in practice unnecessarily complicates the ability of a city to properly communicate with the public and that discourages communications among governing body members through unproductive restrictions and inappropriate activities.</p> <p>Oppose legislation that would impose further unnecessary restrictions on the action that a governing body can take in closed sessions.</p> <p>Support legislation that recognizes the realities of other constraints under which a local governing body must operate that necessitates judicious use of closed sessions, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The privacy rights granted to individuals under the U.S. and California constitutions; • The personnel issues that have a potential impact on an individual's career and potential earning capacity and that raise serious liability questions for a local jurisdiction; • The protection of the taxpayer's interests over property and other acquisitions by a public agency; and • The proper maintenance of the same attorney-client privilege enjoyed by the private sector.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Open Meetings • Restrictions • Closed Session • Privacy Rights • Personnel Issues • Property Acquisitions • Attorney/Client Privilege 		

ELECTIONS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Costs/Procedures • Mail Ballots • Ballot measures • Challenges 	Administrative Services	<p>Support legislation that reduces any unnecessary and costly procedures for conducting a municipal election. Oppose legislation that mandates costly and unnecessary procedures related to the local election process.</p> <p>Support municipal mail ballot elections.</p> <p>Support the requirement that the intent and text of a local ballot measure is to be filed with the City Clerk and published in a newspaper of general circulation, and a filing fee. With regard to any land use measure, support allowing the City Council to refer it to the planning agency for a report on the measure's effects.</p> <p>Oppose any legislation or regulation that would prohibit legal action from being filed by any person(s) challenging the validity of the local initiative petition or ordinance after the date of the election.</p>
RECALL ELECTIONS	Administrative Services	<p>Support legislation that maintains the integrity of the municipal recall process.</p> <p>Support legislation that reduces the amount of local recall abuse while improving, streamlining, and ensuring that the public has full knowledge of the issues.</p>
INSURANCE <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proof • Penalties • Earthquake 	City Administrator	<p>Support the statewide administrative program that requires a motorist to have proof of insurance to register a vehicle with the Department of Motor Vehicles.</p> <p>Support uninsured vehicles being subject to impoundment, and redeemed only with proof of insurance, with unredeemed vehicles being sold to pay for the cost of the program.</p> <p>Support the creation of a state non-profit corporation to issue earthquake insurance policies to cover the cost of replacement or repairs to structures damaged by earthquake. The primary concern of the City in this issue should be maintaining an affordable housing market in local communities.</p>
POLITICAL REFORM ACT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Practices • Local Authority • Prop 208 • Reproduction Costs 	Administrative Services	<p>Support legislation and regulations that establish sound practices and principles related to municipal political campaigns.</p> <p>Oppose regulations and legislation that would restrict or preempt local authority.</p> <p>Support appropriate efforts to improve and streamline the Political Reform Act and its implementation through regulations.</p> <p>Support a legislative amendment to Proposition 208 that permits a candidate with excess campaign funds to give those excess funds to a charity of his or her choice in addition to all of the options provided in Proposition 208.</p> <p>Support an increase in the fee for the reproduction of statements required under the Political Reform Act from ten cents (\$0.10) per page to twenty-five cents (\$0.25) per page.</p>

<p>SMOKING AND TOBACCO CONTROL</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Statewide • Minors 	<p>City Administrator</p>	<p>Support legislation that establishes a statewide smoking and tobacco control standard, as long as such legislation does not preempt the ability of cities and counties to enact local laws that are stronger than the statewide standard or to regulate in areas not covered in the statewide standard. Oppose legislation that would restrict such local authority.</p> <p>Support legislation that limits the ability of minors to obtain tobacco products.</p> <p>Support legislation providing that all 477 California cities be equitably included in the distribution of moneys that the state receives from the Tobacco Settlement Memorandum of Understanding.</p>
<p>TORT REFORM</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Exposure • Liability • Business Climate 	<p>City Attorney</p>	<p>Support legislation that limits the exposure of local governments to lawsuits related to liability, including but not limited to such areas as unimproved natural conditions, design immunity, hazardous recreational activities, and injuries due to wild animals in public places.</p> <p>Support modifications to the joint and several liability laws that require the responsible parties in a civil action to pay only their fair share of judgment based on their relative responsibility.</p> <p>Support civil justice reform measures designed to improve the business climate in California. The City Attorney will evaluate these measures on a case-by-case basis.</p>

END OF LEGISLATIVE PLATFORM

PROPOSED 2009 LEGISLATIVE PLATFORM
SUMMARY OF CHANGES

<u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>	
<u>ADDED</u>	
DISASTER RESPONSE (New Section) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Radio Reporting 	Support funding for improved public notification mechanisms including continuous radio reporting during natural disasters and other emergencies.
LAW ENFORCEMENT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mailed Parking Citation • Smoking 	Support legislation that allows mailing parking citations to violators. Support legislation that reduces the impacts of environmental tobacco smoke on residents in outdoor settings and in multiple family housing.
<u>TRANSPORTATION, PUBLIC WORKS and COMMUNICATIONS</u>	
<u>ADDED</u>	
PUBLIC WORKS PROJECTS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lower Mission Creek Funding 	Support continued funding for the Lower Mission Creek project in annual federal appropriations and authorized the Water Resource Development Act.
<u>MODIFIED</u>	
TRANSPORTATION <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funding • Gas Tax 	Support additional funding for local <i>public</i> transportation, <i>other transportation alternatives to single occupancy vehicles</i> and other critical unmet infrastructure needs. Support a permanent shift of the sales tax on gasoline for transportation purposes and an allocation formula equivalent to a 40/40/20 split with 40% to cities and counties, 40% to STIP and 20% to <i>public transit and other alternative transportation modes</i> .
VEHICLES <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Infrastructure Protection • Safety 	Oppose all efforts that allow vehicles that will jeopardize the integrity of the public infrastructure or the health and safety of the motoring public, <i>cyclists or pedestrians</i> on the road. Support traffic safety enhancements such as motorcycle helmets, child restraints, seat belts and speed limit laws. <i>Allow for greater local discretion for setting lower speed limits.</i>

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	
ADDED	
WATER QUALITY <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financing • Gray Water • Infrastructure 	<p>Support a federal financial commitment to surface water quality improvement and urban creek restoration.</p> <p>Support legislation that removes barriers to gray water systems in public, commercial, industrial, multi-family, and single family projects.</p> <p>Support legislation that updates gray water standards, and encourages simple and cost effective permitting process of gray water systems.</p> <p>Support federal financial commitment to cities that have a history of effective management of water or wastewater infrastructure requirements/investments.</p>
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biodiesel Storage 	<p>Support regulation that allows the storage of biodiesel (up to blends of B20) in existing Underwriters Laboratory (UL) rated in ground tanks that have secondary containment and working leak detection systems, at the discretion of the local jurisdiction.</p>
INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tenant Recycling 	<p>Support legislation that requires owners of multi-unit housing to provide recycling to the tenants.</p>
CLIMATE PROTECTION <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Energy Facility Permits • Building Codes • Public Goods Charge • Renewable Energy • Energy Renumeration 	<p>Support legislation that streamlines permitting requirements and costs for energy producing facilities on federal land or which exempts small energy plants (less than 5 mW) from FERC licensing requirement, and require only state and local regulations as applicable.</p> <p>Support legislation that increases energy efficiency requirements as part of the building codes.</p> <p>Support legislation to permit assignment of the Public Goods Charge to local governments for implementation of energy conservation programs and projects.</p> <p>Support legislation and measures that encourage renewable energy generation, remove roadblocks to renewable resource development and provide incentives for small renewable generation projects.</p> <p>Support legislation or regulations allowing wheeling of power and/or appropriate remuneration for energy provided to the grid by municipalities.</p>
CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Physical Effects 	<p><u>Significant Environmental Effects</u></p> <p>Support focusing CEQA review by limiting analysis to physical environmental effects.</p>

<u>MODIFIED</u>	
AIR QUALITY <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Authority 	<p>Support cities having the authority to establish local air quality standards and programs that are stricter than state and federal standards and oppose efforts to restrict such authority, <i>while reserving the right to question or oppose stronger standards on the merits.</i></p>
WATER QUALITY <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Authority • Public Health • Numeric Limits • Goals 	<p>Support the ability of cities to enact discharge and water quality requirements or standards that are stricter than state or federal standards, <i>while reserving right to question or oppose stronger standards on the merits.</i></p> <p>Support efforts to <i>improve</i> the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act and the Federal Clean Water Act <i>and their implementation procedures to protect public health and the environment in an efficient and effective manner.</i></p> <p>Oppose legislation that requires the use of <i>unreasonable</i> numeric limits in waste discharge permits and storm water permits.</p> <p>Support efforts to set clear <i>water quality</i> goals as occurred with solid waste diversion legislation.</p>
INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Permit Process • Fees and Taxes 	<p>Support legislation to develop a permit process for solid waste <i>anaerobic digestion and/or</i> conversion technologies (<i>including gasification, pyrolysis, plasma card, and refuse derived fuel</i>) that are capable of minimizing the amount of solid waste landfill and do not impede or impair existing and planned recycling and waste reduction programs.</p> <p>Support the ability of jurisdictions to impose a fee or tax on single-use bags.</p>
CLIMATE PROTECTION <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Greenhouse Gas Emissions • Incentives 	<p>Support incentives for local governments to complete an inventory of local government facility greenhouse gas emissions, <i>and to conduct an inventory of their whole jurisdiction.</i></p> <p>Support grants, loans, tax credits and other incentives to assist the public <i>and local governments</i> in using alternative fuels and purchasing fuel efficient vehicles.</p>
CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unreasonable Conditions • Process 	<p><u>Coastal Issues</u></p> <p>Oppose legislation that would permit the state to impose <i>unreasonable</i> conditions on Local Coastal Plans developed by cities and counties.</p> <p><u>Miscellaneous</u></p> <p>Support legislation that would consolidate environmental review studies and processes, and encourage other forms of inter-agency cooperation, for proposed development projects that require permits from multiple jurisdictions (local, state, and federal). Oppose legislation, proposed administrative procedures or other initiatives that would add redundant environmental review processes.</p>

<u>DELETED</u>	
<p>INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AB 939 • Refuse Fees • Certification Restriction • Packaging 	<p>Support legislation to provide changes to AB 939 that will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Place more emphasis on implementation of waste diversion programs and less strict mathematical accounting; • Require the California Integrated Waste Management Board to evaluate the level of accuracy of the existing system to measure jurisdictions' achievement of the waste diversion requirements; • Require the Board to expand its market development activities, including providing more funding for research and development of markets for recyclable materials; and • Require the California Integrated Waste Management Board to staff its existing regional offices with personnel that can assist jurisdictions in carrying out the requirements of the act. <p>Oppose legislation that requires local governments to adopt refuse fees based upon variable can rates.</p> <p>Oppose legislation that would prohibit a public agency from being certified as a Local Enforcement Agency if the public agency is also an operator of a solid waste facility.</p> <p>Support legislation that requires the reduction and/or take-back of supply-side and consumer packaging materials by their manufacturer through Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) guidelines.</p>
<p>CLIMATE PROTECTION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alternative Fuel Incentives 	<p>Support incentives for local governments to use alternative fuels and purchase fuel efficient vehicles for municipal fleets.</p>
<p>CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limits on Environmental Effects 	<p>Significant Environmental Effects</p> <p>Oppose adding social, economic, recreational or other factors to be considered when analyzing the significance of environmental impacts.</p>

HOUSING, COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	
<u>ADDED</u>	
REDEVELOPMENT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continuation/Extension • Fund Diversion • Authority After Sunset 	<p>Support legislation that allows redevelopment agencies to continue to collect tax increment and extend project area activities in pursuit of Redevelopment Plan goals and to provide affordable housing beyond existing statutory deadlines.</p> <p>Oppose legislation such as Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF) that diverts locally-generated tax increment funds from redevelopment agencies.</p> <p>Support legislation to retain redevelopment agency authority to collect and use the increment for affordable housing beyond the current RDA sunset.</p>
SUBDIVISION MAP ACT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Antiquated Subdivision 	<p>Support reversal of legislation recognizing antiquated subdivisions as legal lots.</p>
BUILDING STANDARDS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Licensing Requirements 	<p>Oppose legislation that would remove licensing requirements for professionals such as architects, landscape architects, contractors, and others related to building and development.</p>
<u>MODIFIED</u>	
PLANNING AND ZONING <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Authority 	<p>Support protection of local zoning as a primary function of cities and an essential component of home rule. State agency siting of facilities, including campuses and office buildings, should be subject to local notice and hearing requirements, <i>and local land use policy and zoning requirements</i> in order to meet concerns of the local community.</p>
RENT CONTROL <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Authority 	<p>Oppose any legislation that restricts the ability of cities to enact rent control ordinances for mobile homes and <i>other forms of housing</i> that are tailored to meet local conditions and circumstances.</p>

<p>HOUSING</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General Plan • Housing Allocation • Performance Standards • Regulating Consistency 	<p>Support the following housing principles:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing issues should be addressed in the General Plan as other planning issues are. The housing element should be prepared for the benefit of local governments and should have equal status with the other elements of the General Plan. • The projections of regional and local growth and the allocation of housing units should account for state and local planning factors and should be subject to a formal hearing and appeal process to ensure that they are realistic <i>and fair</i>. <i>Cities or cities and counties</i> should be allowed to work together to allocate housing units among themselves within a sub-region. Politically accountable officials at the state and regional levels should hear appeals <i>to ensure that all public entities in the South Coast region receive an equitable allocation of housing units</i>. • Local government efforts should be subject to realistic performance standards not to arbitrary state agency review of the housing element. Local government housing efforts should be rewarded by incentives. These incentives should include streamlining by not being subject to HCD review, priority ranking for discretionary funds, and new discretionary funds available for general fund purposes. <p>Support and encourage legislation that requires state laws and policies, which affect housing and land use, to be internally consistent <i>and consistent with each other</i>.</p>
<p>RENT CONTROL</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Authority 	<p>Oppose any legislation that restricts the ability of cities to enact rent control ordinances for mobile homes and <i>other forms of</i> housing that are tailored to meet local conditions and circumstances.</p>
<p>DEVELOPMENT FEES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Authority 	<p>Support <i>maintaining</i> the city's ability to condition and deny projects that determine <i>to</i> inadequately mitigate impacts to community schools.</p>
<p>MILITARY BASE CLOSURE AND REUSE</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conversion/Reuse 	<p>Support local decision-making over <i>closed</i> military base reuse.</p>
<p><u>DELETED</u></p>	
<p>REDEVELOPMENT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continuation/Extension 	<p>Support the ability of Redevelopment Agencies to extend project area activities to support affordable housing production.</p>

AIRPORT	
<u>ADDED</u>	
FUNDING • Alternative Minimum Tax	Support continuation of the elimination of the Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT) penalty on Airport Private Activity Bonds.
<u>MODIFIED</u>	
FUNDING • FAA/AIP	Support legislation reauthorizing FAA and the Airport Improvement Program (AIP), including support of the following provisions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Increase AIP funding and maintain <i>or reduce</i> the 5% AIP match requirement for small airports. ○ Strengthen or maintain budget protections for AIP funding. ○ Eliminate the competition plan requirement for AIP. ○ Eliminate unnecessary AIP grant assurances. ○ Maintain or expand revenue sources that contribute to AIP.
<u>DELETED</u>	
ENVIRONMENT • Alternative Energy • Renumeration	Support initiatives to encourage development of alternative energy sources. Support remuneration for alternative energy producers that contribute excess energy to the electrical grid.
WATERFRONT	
<u>ADDED</u>	
FISHERIES • Marine Life Protection Act	Support a planning process for the Marine Life Protection Act in Southern California that includes input from the science community and all interested stakeholders to protect the marine heritage and ensure long term viability of coastal communities and fisheries.
FACILITIES • Harbor/Watercraft Revolving Fund • Abandoned Watercraft Fund	Oppose legislative or administrative efforts to reallocate or divert funds from the intended purposes of the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, as described in Sections 85-88 of the California Harbors and Navigation Code. Oppose legislative or administrative efforts to decrease the budget of the state's Abandoned Watercraft Abatement Fund.

<u>MODIFIED</u>	
ENVIRONMENTAL <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Marine Fuel Tanks • Mitigation Funding 	Water Pollution Support <i>state or federal</i> funding for retrofits or rehabilitation of underground marine-fuel tanks or systems. Support <i>state or federal</i> funding of mitigation or remediation of non-point source pollution that affects harbor water quality. Support and advance programs and <i>state</i> funding aimed at reducing or eliminating point-source pollution in California ports and harbors.
AIR QUALITY <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Control 	Support <i>state and federal</i> efforts to reduce air and water quality impacts of shipping in the Santa Barbara Channel.
FACILITIES <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Infrastructure Funding • Department of Boating Budget • Repair and Maintenance 	Support legislation granting <i>federal</i> funds (or low-interest, no-interest loans) for development of harbor infrastructure facilities. Oppose <i>legislative or administrative</i> efforts to eliminate the state Department of Boating and Waterways <i>and/or</i> reduce/reallocate its budget. Oppose legislation that seasonally restricts ability to repair and maintain harbor facilities, <i>while considering impact to sensitive species</i> .
<u>DELETED</u>	
LOST AT SEA MEMORIALS	Support government agency funding for the establishment of “Lost at Sea” memorials.
<u>COMMUNITY SERVICES</u>	
<u>ADDED</u>	
PARKS FUNDING	Support legislation that promotes the acquisition, development or redevelopment of park land to meet community park and recreation needs.
CHILD CARE	Support legislation that encourages child care facilities throughout the community.
CHILDREN/YOUTH <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Foster Care Funding • First Five • Public Health/Land Use 	Support increased funding for foster care. Oppose legislation or administrative efforts to decrease the state’s budgets for First 5 and K through 12 programs that support children and families. Support incentives to improve coordination of public health considerations with community land use planning.

SENIORS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Day Care Facilities 	Support legislation that facilitates development of senior residential and day care facilities integrated within the community at large.
<u>MODIFIED</u>	
CHILD CARE	Support <i>state and</i> federal efforts to provide high quality, safe, and affordable childcare for all who need it.
CHILDREN/YOUTH <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parks and Recreation 	Support legislation that would provide state and federal funding for the development and operation of park and recreation facilities that serve underprivileged children, families, <i>and older adults</i> .
SENIORS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intergenerational Recreation 	Support efforts to develop and improve intergenerational <i>recreation</i> programs and activities that include seniors.

EMPLOYEE RELATIONS

<u>ADDED</u>	
PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Authority • Pension Reform 	Oppose preemption of charter city authority over their public pension systems. Support pension system reform that will reduce long term costs while remaining effective in recruiting and retaining a skilled, quality work force.