A aondo	ltom	NIo
Agenda	петп	INO.

File Code No. 630.01



# **CITY OF SANTA BARBARA**

# **COUNCIL AGENDA REPORT**

**AGENDA DATE:** November 23, 2010

**TO:** Mayor and Councilmembers

**FROM:** Environmental Services Division, Finance Department

**SUBJECT:** Professional Services Agreement For Zone 1 Hauler Franchise

Renewal

#### **RECOMMENDATION:** That Council:

A. Direct staff to conduct an open competitive process to solicit proposals for a franchise contract for exclusive solid waste, recyclables, and organics collection and disposal for Zone 1 effective June 7, 2013 (Zone 1 Hauler Franchise);

- B. Authorize the Finance Director to negotiate and execute a Professional Services Agreement, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney, with HF&H Consultants, LLC in an amount not to exceed \$152,000 for competitive contracting assistance;
- C. Appropriate \$152,000 from available reserves to the Solid Waste Fund to cover these contract costs; and
- D. Direct staff to require the successful Zone 1 Hauler to reimburse the City for the HF&H consulting costs.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

The Zone 1 solid waste franchise agreement with Allied Waste Services of North America, LLC (Allied Waste) will expire in June 2013. Staff recommends a full public competitive process to receive proposals for a successor franchise contract for Zone 1.

Staff recommends that the Finance Director be authorized to negotiate and execute an agreement for professional services with HF&H Consultants, LLC. A 10-year franchise agreement for Zone 1 would be expected to encompass over \$80 million in consumer services paid to the hauler. Staff believes that the investment of the \$152,000 HF&H contract amount, which represents less than 0.2% of the Zone 1 contract value, is prudent to ensure that the City negotiates the best possible franchise terms. Further, it is anticipated that the successful hauler will fully reimburse the City's Solid Waste Fund for these costs.

Council Agenda Report Professional Services Agreement For Zone 1 Hauler Franchise Renewal November 23, 2010 Page 2

## **DISCUSSION:**

Since 2003, the City has had two "zones" for solid waste collection and disposal in the business, multi-unit residential, and single family residential sectors. The City has contracted with two haulers, one in each zone, to collect solid waste, recyclables, green waste and, most recently, business food scraps. Collectively, the haulers are paid over \$15.5 million dollars for these services. Approximately 65% of this is for collection services, and 35% is attributable to disposal costs. The cost of services is funded entirely through the solid waste rates charged to City customers.

The City's franchise contract with Allied Waste Services of North America, LLC (Allied Waste) for solid waste collection in Zone 1 will expire on June 7, 2013. Zone 1 is roughly described as the area west of the middle of State Street and south of Highway 101. Allied Waste has been the hauler for customers in Zone 1 since accepting assignment of the 10-year contract from BFI Waste Systems of North America, Inc. (BFI) in 2007.

The City's contract with MarBorg Industries, Inc. (MarBorg) for Zone 2 expires at the same time, but contains two 5-year options for extension at the discretion of MarBorg. Provided MarBorg notifies the City that it intends to exercise the first of those options, staff does not intend to include Zone 2 in this contracting process.

### **Public Competitive Process**

Staff has considered various options for the new hauler contract for Zone 1, including: sole source renewal negotiations with Allied Waste; a closed competitive process where only the City's two current haulers could propose; sole source negotiations with MarBorg in order to have a single hauler citywide; an open competitive process which excludes MarBorg in order to keep two separate haulers in the City; and a full open competitive process.

Staff believes that the option that would provide the greatest potential for rate relief and/or increased services to customers and the community is a fully open competitive process. Under this process, the City might end up with one or two haulers, depending on the overall benefit to ratepayers and the community. The successful hauler might be one of the current two haulers, or might be a new hauler, again depending on the value brought to the community.

Staff has assembled a project team for this process consisting of a projected leader from the City Administrator's Office, an attorney from the City Attorney's Office, a manager from the Public Works Department, a representative from the Finance Department, and key Environmental Services Division staff members. During the contracting process Staff plans to seek direction as needed from the Sustainability Committee and to involve the full City Council in all key policy decisions.

Council Agenda Report Professional Services Agreement For Zone 1 Hauler Franchise Renewal November 23, 2010 Page 3

## **Need for Professional Services**

The use of professional services in solid waste hauler franchise negotiations is very common given the importance and complexity of the services involved, changes in solid waste management practices and related technologies, the high level of industry regulation, and the significant financial commitments involved. The City used professional contracting services ten years ago, when the last franchise negotiations occurred.

With the Environmental Services Manager position currently vacant, and a period of adjustment expected when the new manager is hired, professional expertise will be even more important than in the past. Further, the fact that MarBorg has the option to continue its agreement with the City in Zone 2 at the current consumer rate structure creates different complexities and opportunities than would occur during a coordinated and citywide contracting cycle.

Staff interviewed two highly recommended firms and chose HF&H for their professionalism and fit with the City's needs. HF&H has significant experience and expertise in waste collection, diversion, and disposal issues. They will help staff and the City Council to identify key policy decisions and to optimize the range of services offered to rate payers. In the highly regulated and dynamic field of municipal solid waste management, we will rely on their awareness of industry trends, technological advances, funding issues, and modern performance standards. Their experience will help us to attract competitive bids and control costs for rate payers. They will also help us to comply with applicable laws and anticipate legislation.

The services provided by HF&H will include helping staff and the City Council to determine City's collection needs, developing a contracting strategy to address those needs, preparing and issuing a request for proposals (RFP), reviewing and evaluating the proposals received, negotiating with the top ranked contractors, and preparing the a new agreement with the selected contractor.

A 10-year franchise agreement for Zone 1 would be expected to encompass over \$80 million in services paid to the hauler. Given this, staff believes that an investment of \$152,000, less than 0.2% of the contract amount, is prudent to ensure that the City secures the highest level of service at the best price for City ratepayers.

HF&H's Scope of Work and Fee Estimate, which includes a draft project timeline, is attached. If this contract is approved, staff plans to make initial recommendations on key policy decisions to both the Sustainability Committee and the City Council in the first months of calendar year 2011. It should be noted that, upon the recommendation of the Sustainability Committee, staff is exploring ways to move the deadline for award of the new contract that is reflected in HF&H's draft timeline up by 3 months.

Council Agenda Report Professional Services Agreement For Zone 1 Hauler Franchise Renewal November 23, 2010 Page 4

### Reimbursement from Successful Hauler

It is common for public agencies to ask the successful hauler to reimburse the public agency for contract consulting costs. In the meantime, staff will use Solid Waste Fund reserves to pay for these services.

### **BUDGET/FINANCIAL INFORMATION:**

The total recommended contract amount of \$152,000 includes \$126,000 in estimated basic costs, plus up to \$26,000 in case more than the anticipated number of proposers respond, or the City wishes to engage in negotiations with more than one finalist simultaneously.

Funds will be appropriated from Solid Waste Fund reserves to the current operating budget to cover the contract costs. However, it is anticipated that the successful hauler will reimburse the City for these costs in full, so that these funds may eventually be restored to reserves.

**ATTACHMENT(S):** HF&H Consultants, LLC: Scope Of Work and Fee Estimate to

Provide Solid Waste and Recycling Contracting Services

**PREPARED BY:** Kristy Schmidt, Acting Environmental Services Manager

**SUBMITTED BY:** Robert Samario, Finance Director

**APPROVED BY:** City Administrator's Office

# HF&H CONSULTANTS, LLC

# SCOPE OF WORK AND FEE ESTIMATE TO PROVIDE SOLID WASTE AND RECYCLING CONTRACTING SERVICES

## **CITY OF SANTA BARBARA**

## PROJECT BACKGROUND

The City of Santa Barbara (City) currently receives collection services under separate agreements with Allied Waste Services ("Allied") in Zone 1 and Marborg Industries ("Marborg") in Zone 2. The agreements expire June 7, 2013. The Marborg agreement provides the company with an extension option. The Allied Waste Agreement does not. The City seeks an experienced solid waste consultant in order to assist the City through a competitive procurement of a new solid waste collection agreement for services provided in Zone 1 serviced by Allied Waste beginning June 8, 2013.

Each hauler provides exclusive residential and commercial collection services within their zones. Obtaining a new agreement with enhanced services through a competitive process in half of the City presents certain challenges. For example, different rates and/or services proposed for Zone 1 may necessitate negotiations with Marborg for service or rate changes in Zone 2, City-billing of blended rates, or other remedies to standardize rates and services Citywide, if that is the City's goal. Alternatively, services and/or rates could be different in each zone.

There have been many regulatory and other industry developments in the solid waste field since the current agreement was drafted. As part of this contracting process, we will identify service improvements and provide new contract language so that the City's agreement addresses changes in the City's needs, and reflect current solid waste industry practices. A few of these issues that cities must now consider include:

- Air Resource Board regulations and other vehicle requirements;
- Commercial and multi-family recycling services;
- Collection of electronic and other universal waste;
- Sharps collection (i.e. syringes);
- Large venue event recycling; and,
- Proposition 218 issues.

Additionally, we understand that the City is interested in the feasibility of:

• Door-to-door HHW collection (the City currently relies on a regional drop-off location open Saturdays);

- Textile recycling;
- Food waste diversion; and,
- Other programs to increase recycling.

HF&H has provided services to jurisdictions throughout the State and has assisted clients with similar issues. We can provide the City with the expertise and assistance that the City needs for a successful process.

### **HF&H APPROACH**

HF&H offers the City full service competitive contracting assistance, as described in the work plan below. Each of HF&H's clients have different service and contract needs, which we work with each city to address. All of our clients have the same desire for a smooth process, which we offer through our program outlined below.

## **WORK PLAN**

The following work plan is for conducting a competitive procurement. This section is organized as follows:

- Task 1: Determine City's Collection Needs & Develop Contracting Strategy
- Task 2: Prepare and Issue Request for Proposals
- Task 3: Review and Evaluate Proposals
- Task 4: Negotiate With Top Ranked Contractors, and Prepare a New Agreement with Selected Contractor for City Council Approval

# TASK 1: DETERMINE CITY'S COLLECTION NEEDS & DEVELOP CONTRACTING STRATEGY

Subtask 1A: Initiate Project Under Subtask 1A, HF&H will:

## Review existing background documents and prepare for kickoff meeting

We will review the existing solid waste collection franchise agreements and the City's solid waste and recycling ordinances to gain an understanding of the City's existing solid waste programs and service arrangements.

We understand that the City has a Sustainability Committee, including three City Councilmembers, who will participate in the process. We will work with the Committee and City staff to determine services to be included in the new draft agreement and confirm the Committee's role, if desired, in the evaluation of the proposals. We have successfully worked with subcommittees and environmental task forces in many other cities.

# Meet with City staff

We will prepare for and conduct a meeting with City staff to discuss key issues relating to the procurement of a new franchise agreement, and confirm the detailed schedule for the process.

# Prepare the project plan and analysis of the current agreement

Based on our kickoff meeting, we will prepare a Project Plan that documents the project background, key issues, existing and alternative solid waste and recycling services, and schedule. We will provide a copy of the Project Plan to the City and use it as a tool to manage the contracting process.

# Subtask 1B: Define Scope of Services and Confirm with City

The purpose of this task is to define the scope of the solid waste services to be proposed upon in the RFP package. HF&H will:

# **Review existing service methods**

We will gain a complete understanding of current service arrangements and issues. Having recently worked with the County of Santa Barbara, we are familiar with contracting arrangements in the area. We will review the unique arrangements that the City has in place for both manual and automated residential services. Modifications to the City's residential services must be considered hand-in-hand with any changes to its residential rate structure.

### Document recommended options for inclusion in RFP and agreement

We will prepare a document describing potential enhancements to existing services and contract terms, and compare the current and proposed conditions. This comparison facilitates an informed discussion and decision-making process.

Ever changing legislation requires cities to continually address new solid waste issues, some of which may be addressed within a city's solid waste agreement. Just a few of these issues that cities must address, either now or in the near future, include changes to:

- Vehicle emissions limitations
- The restricted disposal of Sharps and pharmaceuticals
- The restricted disposal of an expanding list of universal and electronic wastes
- State diversion requirements
- CIWMB reporting requirements

Such issues will be considered in formulating recommended changes to the City's solid waste agreement. Additional issues particular to your City may include:

<u>Diversion Requirements</u> – Current agreements require a minimum diversion rate for Zones 1 and 2 combined. Under the new agreement, we will work with the City to determine a reasonable minimum diversion rate to be required in Zone 1 alone, requiring greater accountability from the hauler. The City has access to both food waste and construction and demolition debris diversion facilities in the region, which may facilitate the implementation of additional programs and greater diversion potential.

<u>Rate Adjustment Method</u> - Currently, the City passes through disposal cost increases and also provides annual rate increases equal to 65% of the change in CPI. The City may consider a weighted index that reflects changes in additional cost components, such as fuel, labor and equipment, and that automatically adjusts component weightings each year to better reflect the percentage of overall costs represented by the disposal and other cost categories.

Residential Rate Structure – Residents pay a rate based on the number of equivalent 32-gallon containers of trash and green waste. Customers may elect to have either 32-gallon refuse cans provided by the customer or rolling carts provided by the contractor. The rate is the same for either service, and includes up to 96-gallons of recycling service at no additional charge. Although manually-serviced customers must provide their own 32-gallon refuse containers, they receive the benefit of having solid waste collected from their backyard or side-yard. Customers with cart service must place containers curbside for collection. There are additional fees applied for moving residential containers 100′ to 150′, 150′ or more feet, or on an incline.

Rates proposed for Zone 1 may be different than the current contractor rates for Zone 2. As the City performs the billing, the City may choose to pay each hauler their contracted rate, but charge customers in each area of the City the same, blended rate.

<u>Financial Assurances</u> – The current agreement with Allied Waste includes a performance bond requirement of \$3 million and an insurance requirement of \$5 million. We typically see performance bonds in the range of one to three months' revenue; this agreement is worth about \$8 million per year, with one to three months' revenue at \$700,000 to \$2 million. The insurance requirement is typical of solid waste collection agreements.

<u>City Digester</u> - The City is interested in the possibility of siting an anaerobic digester at its waste water treatment facility. The development and permitting process would be outside of the scope of a solid waste collection contracting process. A digester may not be ready to accept solid waste prior to implementation of the City's new collection agreement. However, the City can reserve the right through the new collection agreement to direct the flow of the City's waste to such a facility in the future.

### Present recommended services/terms to City

HF&H will review the recommended services and agreement terms with City Staff and subsequently present them at a City Council or Sustainability Committee meeting. At this meeting, the City Council or Sustainability Committee is expected to make comments regarding outstanding issues and provide HF&H with a recommended direction to be followed in completing the RFP and agreement.

### **Subtask 1C: Gather and Review Operating Data**

We will collect any data available regarding the current services provided. We will prepare data collection forms to assist the City and/or hauler in providing additional information in a user-friendly format. As the City provides all billing services, the City will be able to provide much of the key service data.

It has been our experience that when proposers are confident about the accuracy of operating data contained in the RFP, they propose lower rates and include fewer contingency costs. Collecting data in this manner also may uncover additional issues, such as poor reporting or service issues that we would address in the new agreement.

### TASK 2: PREPARE AND ISSUE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

# Subtask 2A: Prepare draft RFP and agreement

Based on the information and direction received in prior tasks, we will prepare the draft RFP, agreement, and criteria to be used in evaluating the proposals received.

# Subtask 2B: Revise RFP and agreement once, after review by the City Attorney, other City staff and potential proposers

We will submit the draft RFP and agreement to City staff, City Sustainability Committee, the City Attorney, and potential proposers for review. We will provide a list of potential proposers to the City. After City staff, the Sustainability Committee, and the potential proposers have reviewed the documents and provided us with their written comments, we will confer with City and make appropriate revisions once to these documents. The draft agreement is included in the RFP as an attachment. The City Attorney is requested to make any changes directly to the documents in a strike-and-replace format.

HF&H works at developing proposer interest in the City's RFP process from the beginning of the project. Seeking input on the agreement from potential proposers can not only lead to a better contract, but also assists in generating proposer interest. Some cities issuing RFPs have recently failed to receive a sufficient number of proposals. HF&H has consistently obtained for its clients multiple quality proposals in response to each RFP.

### Subtask 2C: Attend meetings with City regarding RFP package

If necessary after parties have reviewed the draft documents, HF&H will attend one meeting with the City Sustainability Committee, City Manager, and/or City Attorney to discuss suggested revisions.

## Subtask 2D: Attend Council meeting to approve RFP package

HF&H will attend one City Council meeting at which the City Council will approve the RFP and draft agreement. We recommend that contact between proposers and the City be controlled through "Process Integrity Guidelines" and will suggest methods to do so, based on City staff and City Council's desired level of interaction with proposers. We will make a presentation, if requested, and answer questions. Once the RFP and the draft agreement have been approved by the City Council, they can be distributed to potential proposers. We will provide the City with a list of potential proposers with whom we are familiar.

### Subtask 2E: Prepare for and attend proposers' conference

With City staff coordination, we will schedule a proposers' conference to be conducted shortly after release of the RFP. Potential proposers will have an opportunity to receive clarification of any issues and ask questions at this conference. We will also accept written requests for clarification, until a set deadline.

## Subtask 2F: Prepare addenda

We will prepare written responses to questions posed at the proposers' conference, or submitted in writing, and prepare any necessary addenda arising from issues posed at the proposers' conference. All questions and responses shall be made available to all proposers in attendance at the conference.

We find that proposers will often have last minute questions while finalizing their proposals a day or two before they are due. We arrange our personal schedules to ensure that we always have project staff available to answer last minute questions.

## Subtask 2G: Development of a Proposal Evaluation Team

The City will select a proposal evaluation team to review the proposals. The City's selection of this team may also be made earlier in the process.

### TASK 3: REVIEW AND EVALUATE PROPOSALS

# Subtask 3A: Review proposals for completeness

We will perform an initial review of each proposal submitted for compliance with the City's RFP requirements and disregard substantially incomplete proposals.

### Subtask 3B: Evaluate complete proposals

The specific criteria for which we evaluate the complete proposals will be developed using input received from City staff and the City Council. Based on our experience in other cities, we anticipate evaluating the proposals based on the following criteria:

- Experience of the proposers in providing the requested services in other jurisdictions, based on information contained in their proposals;
- Exceptions taken to the terms and conditions of the draft agreement;
- Proposed total compensation (rate revenue) over the term of the agreement, based on the rates included in the financial section of the proposal;
- Financial resources of the proposers, based on information in their proposals; and,
- Unique proposal features that exceed the RFP's minimum requirements.

Proposals received in each RFP process present unique issues to be evaluated. For example, our success in assisting cities in reducing rates can result in lower City fee revenue for cities that assess fees based on gross receipts. The City receives a 5% City billing fee, a 2% gross receipts fee, and a 6% utility users tax. In such instances, a "lump sum fee" increased annually by CPI may be more appropriate, or the fee percentage may need to be increase to generate historical fee levels.

## Subtask 3C: Prepare follow-up questions for proposers

After performing our initial review and evaluation, we will provide each proposer with our summary evaluation of the company's individual proposal in order to confirm our understanding of the information presented in the proposal.

# Subtask 3D: Review responses and clarify unresolved issues

We will review responses received from proposers and resolve any open issues to help ensure that proposers are satisfied with the representation of their proposals.

## Subtask 3E: Meet with staff to discuss preliminary evaluation

We will meet with the City's evaluation team regarding our preliminary evaluation and discuss the next steps in the evaluation process, such as selecting the proposers to be interviewed.

# **Subtask 3F: Interview proposers**

Along with the City's evaluation team, we will interview the proposers, scheduling all interviews on one day. The City may decide to interview all proposers, or interview companies with the top proposals only

### Subtask 3G: Contact references for recommended proposer

We will contact references provided for the proposer to be recommended to the City Council for award of the agreement. We will summarize the results of the reference checks within the evaluation report.

## Subtask 3H: Prepare evaluation report

All proposals receive a preliminary evaluation. A detailed evaluation is performed of the one or two proposals that appear to offer the most value for the services and costs proposed. Additionally, we will review the overall reasonableness of the operational and financial assumptions contained in the technical section of the proposals selected for detailed evaluation. After our evaluation is complete, we will provide the City with a report describing the evaluation results.

# TASK 4: NEGOTIATE WITH TOP RANKED CONTRACTORS, AND PREPARE A NEW AGREEMENT FOR CITY COUNCIL APPROVAL

### Subtask 4A: Participate in negotiating session

HF&H will participate in a negotiation session with one or more haulers. Based on our prior experience, final negotiations can usually be completed during one session per proposer, and the fee estimate includes costs for one session with one proposer. However, the City may prefer to negotiate with multiple proposers at this time, as multiple proposals may appear attractive prior to finalizing the agreement(s). Proposers are most cooperative when they are still in competition. After finalizing negotiations, we would then assist the City's evaluation team in its determination of a final selection. If the City desires to negotiate further with the final selection, we would assist in those negotiations as well.

### Subtask 4B: Prepare revised portions of agreement

Based upon the negotiations, we will make one set of revisions to the final agreement negotiated with each proposer and ask each proposer to sign the agreement. The City can then make a decision based on clearly defined contract terms, verses general promises often made in proposals and during negotiations. Also, at award, neither the successful nor unsuccessful proposers can debate what was or was not the final offer to the City.

# Subtask 4C: Attend one City Council meeting for approval of final agreement

We will attend the City Council meeting at which the final agreement is expected to be approved.

# TASK 5: TRANSITION ASSISTANCE (OPTIONAL TASK)

After award of the new solid waste collection agreement, the City and contractor will need to undertake numerous tasks in order to ensure a smooth transition. HF&H has assisted cities through this process to minimize disruption to ratepayers and to ensure programs are properly implemented in a timely manner. Services with which we can provide assistance include:

Development and Monitoring of Detailed Transition Calendar

During the transition, it is critical that key tasks are completed by certain dates. We develop a detailed calendar and monitor all parties' compliance in meeting deadlines. Examples include dates for ordering and delivering equipment, for initial and final drafts of each public education piece to be delivered and edited, community workshops, and Proposition 218 noticing (if applicable). If a new hauler is selected, parties will need to meet and establish key transition dates for exchange of information and container delivery and removal.

• Review and Revision of All Public Education Materials

Transition materials prepared by the contractor may not be sufficient to simply and productively provide customers with the information necessary. For example, a recent RFP client of ours distributed what appeared to the city to be a well laid-out informational piece from an experienced hauler, with a return card for the selection of residential cart sizes. The mailer did not include sufficient information on certain cart selection options, and other public education efforts did not sufficiently educate residents as to their cart selection options, resulting in the hauler needing to order additional cart types and sizes after the initial roll-out, and replace numerous customers' carts at an increased expense. Another recent RFP client is having HF&H monitor and help manage the transition, in which we have assisted in revising public outreach materials to ensure their clarity and effectiveness.

• Evaluating the Reasonableness of Contractor Plans

We have guided RFP clients regarding the reasonableness of its contractor's assumptions for the time necessary to roll-out new containers and how best to coordinate a container exchange without a disruption in service to the customer.

- Conducting Public Workshops
- Attending City Council Meetings
- Assistance with Proposition 218 Notice Development and Public Hearings
- Conducting Meetings with the Contractor and City Staff
- Providing City with Customer Service Support

- Reviewing and Amending the Municipal Code for Consistency with New Agreement
- Monitoring Contractor Compliance With Agreement Terms During Transition, including remittance of applicable fees and attainment of insurance and performance surety.

These optional services are not included in the proposed scope, but can be provided on a time and materials basis.

# **COMPETITIVE PROCUREMENT SCHEDULE**

The current agreement expires on June 7, 2013, providing ample time for a thorough process and a smooth transition, including time for ordering equipment and conducting public education.

**Table 1:** Competitive Procurement Schedule

Table 1: Competitive Procurement Schedule								
	Activity	Party	Target Date					
1.	Initiate Project	City/HF&H	January 2011					
2.	Review existing documents, identify key service issues and meet with City staff	HF&H	February 2011					
3.	Define scope of services, gather operating data and tour collection area	HF&H	March 2011					
4.	Review City billing data and hauler operating data	HF&H	April 2011					
5.	Prepare preliminary RFP and draft agreement	HF&H	May/June 2011					
	Seek input from: City staff, Sustainability Committee, and City Attorney	City, HF&H	July/August 2011					
6.	Seek input from potential proposers.	Potential Proposers	September 2011					
7.	Prepare revised RFP and draft agreement	HF&H	October 2011					
8.	Present RFP package to Council for approval, and distribute to proposers	City, HF&H	November 2011					
9.	Prepare proposals	Proposers	December 2011/ February 2012					
10.	Submit proposals	Proposers	March 2012					
11.	Evaluate proposals	City, HF&H	April/May 2012					
12.	Contact references and finalize evaluation	HF&H	June 2012					
13.	Select contractor(s) for negotiations	City	July 2012					
14.	Conduct negotiations and resolve exceptions to agreement	HF&H/City/ Proposer	August/September 2012					
15.	Present negotiated agreement to Council for approval	Council	October 2012					
16.	Order equipment	Contractor	November 2012					
17.	Outreach Campaign - Prepare and distribute educational materials, conduct informational meetings and prepare for transition	Contractor	November 2012 through May 2013					
18.	Initiate rollout of new service	Contractor	June 8, 2013					

### **FEE ESTIMATE**

We will perform the scope of work based on time and materials. The estimated total cost to perform the workplan tasks is \$126,000, excluding the optional transition assistance in Task 5. Our actual costs could be higher or lower than this amount, depending on the complexity of the City's contracting process, the number of proposals to be evaluated, the number of negotiation sessions required, and other factors that cannot be precisely estimated in advance. The estimated level of effort by task is summarized below and hours may be shifted among tasks.

The proposed cost includes preparation of the RFP, gathering operating data, soliciting proposals, conducting a pre-proposal conference and issuing addenda, evaluating up to four proposals, and preparing and negotiating the final agreement with one proposer. The proposed cost assumes that one integrated residential and commercial RFP and collection service agreement is developed and a single set of services proposed. Should additional proposals beyond four be submitted, we estimate that the budget will increase by \$5,500 per proposal. If negotiations are conducted with more than one proposer, the additional cost shall be \$7,500 per company. Were the City to split the agreement into separate residential and commercial agreements, or if other changes are made to the scope, our fee estimate may increase. We suggest that the City approve a budget of \$152,000, with a \$26,000 contingency in the event that any of these events arise.

The proposed scope of services does not include preparing the staff report that City staff will need to prepare to transmit various action items to the City Council during the process. The proposed scope does not include public education and outreach efforts during the RFP process, which we understand will be performed by City Staff, if necessary.

The scope does not include transition assistance after award of the agreement. However, HF&H can provide these services on a time and materials basis.

We will bill you once per month, based on the number of hours worked and expenses incurred. Payment is due within 30 days of invoicing. Hourly rates through December 31, 2011 for professional and administrative personnel are listed below. Rates will adjust each January 1 by approximately 3%.

<u>Position</u>	<u>Rate</u>
President and Senior Vice President & Vice President	\$249
Senior Manager/Senior Project Manager	\$210 - \$225
Director	\$210
Manager	\$205
Senior Associate	\$165 - \$185
Associate Analyst	\$125 - \$145
Assistant Analyst	\$100 - \$115
Administrative Staff	\$90
Intern	\$45

Expenses will be billed as follows:

Mileage Prevailing IRS mileage rate

Document Reproduction \$0.15 per page (black & white)

\$0.75 cents per page (color)

Outside document reproduction/couriers/postage Actual
Public conveyances and parking Actual
All other out-of-pocket expenses Actual

In most of the competitive procurements we have conducted for other cities, the successful contractor is required to reimburse the City for its consulting costs. Based on the City's estimate that the existing hauler agreement is worth approximately \$8 million annually, the total value over 10 years would be \$80 million at current rates. Our fees are less than two-tenths of 1%.

### **WORKPLAN**

TASK DESCRIPTION	Sr. Vice President	Manager	Senior Associate	Total Hours
Determine City's Collection Needs & Prepare Contracting Strategy     A. Initiate Project     1 Review existing documents and prepare for kickoff meeting     2 Meet with City staff (meeting #1)     3 Prepare Project Plan and analysis of current agreement	6 8 2	12 8 6	0 0 2	18 16 10
B. Define Scope of Services and Confirm with City     1 Review existing service methods     2 Document recommended options for inclusion in RFP and agreement     3 Present recommended services/terms to City (meeting #2)	8 4 10	8 8 10	0 4 0	16 16 20
C. Gather and Review Operating Data	<u>8</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>48</u>
Subtotal: Task 1 Hours	46	68	30	144
2. Prepare and Issue Request for Proposals  A. Prepare draft RFP and agreement  B. Povice PFP and decuments are a fitter review by City Attenday other City.	12	46	32	90
<ul> <li>B. Revise RFP and documents once after review by City Attorney, other City staff, and potential proposers</li> <li>C. Attend Council meeting to approve RFP package (meeting #3)</li> <li>D. Prepare for and attend proposers' conference (meeting #4)</li> <li>E. Prepare addenda</li> </ul>	8 10 8 <u>4</u>	16 10 8 <u>12</u>	0 0 0 2	24 20 16 <u>18</u>
Subtotal: Task 2 Hours	42	92	34	168
3. Review and Evaluate Proposals  A. Review proposals for completeness  B. Evaluate complete proposals (maximum of four)  C. Prepare follow-up questions for proposers  D. Review responses and clarify unresolved issues  E. Meet with City staff to discuss preliminary evaluation (meeting #5)	1 16 4 4 8	4 40 8 8 8	0 24 4 0	5 80 16 12 16
F. Interview proposers (meeting #6)     G. Contact references for recommended contractor     H. Prepare evaluation report	12 1 <u>12</u>	12 4 <u>24</u>	0 6 <u>12</u>	24 11 <u>48</u>
Subtotal: Task 3 Hours	58	108	46	212
Negotiate Final Agreement and Prepare a New Agreement     A. Participate in one negotiating session (meeting #7)     B. Prepare revised portions of agreement     C. Attend Council meeting for approval of final agreement (meeting #8)	8 8 <u>10</u>	8 16 <u>10</u>	0 0 <u>0</u>	16 24 <u>20</u>
Subtotal: Task 4 Hours	26	34	0	60
Manage Project and Prepare Workpapers - Task Hours	4	2	0	6
Total Hours Hourly Rate Subtotal	176 \$ 249 \$ 43,824	304 \$ 205 \$ 62,320	110 \$ 165 \$ 18.150	590
Expenses Total Fees and Expenses	<b>р 43,824</b>	<b>\$ 6∠,320</b>	\$ 18,150	\$ 124,294 \$ 1,706 \$ 126,000